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Abstract—This article provides analysis and systematization of factors causing non-conformities of testing laboratories operations with the established regulations. The research was conducted based on analysis of expert examination results, in the course of verification of testing laboratories competence as regards the accreditation criteria and GOST ISO/IEC 17025 standard requirements. The sampling provided consists of fifty expert reports of testing laboratories engaged in food products quality compliance assessment.
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I. ANALYSIS AND SYSTEMATIZATION OF NON-CONFORMITIES REGARDING ACCREDITED TESTING LABORATORIES OPERATIONS

Within the frames of technical regulation reform in Russia, a new system of accreditation and competence verification for testing laboratories was established. In the course of reform, legal and methodological framework was created for the purposes of competence verification of testing laboratories. However, a large number of non-conformities detected in the process of competence verification calls for special attention to these issues and their further systematization in order to improve the testing laboratories operations [1, 2].

Accreditation is an effective marketing tool for testing laboratories and a reliable indicator of their technical competence both on the national and international level.

Technical competence of laboratory depends on multiple factors, including:

- requirements to organization carrying out testing operations;
- requirements to management system;
- requirements to staff qualification, training and experience;
- requirements to testing premises and environment conditions;
- available testing and calibration methods, as well as their acceptability appraisal;
- requirements to equipment;
- requirements to treatment of testing and calibration objects.

The purpose of this research is analysis of factors of testing laboratories operations, which cause non-conformities with the established requirements.

As a source material to carry out analysis, the authors used the sampling data of fifty on-site expert examination reports (expert reports) to carry out accreditation and competence verification of testing laboratories engaged in research (testing) in the area of assessment (verification) of food products quality compliance at the Russian Federation territory [1-6].

In the course of analysis, we measured specific weight of non-conformities detected in the operations of testing laboratories engaged in food products quality compliance assessment, according to the following formula:

\[
\text{Specific weight of non-conformities} = \frac{\text{Number of non-conformities per each unit of Accreditation Criteria}}{\text{Total number of non-conformities}} \times 100\%
\]

This formula made it possible to find out percentage of detected non-conformities per each unit of accreditation criteria for testing laboratories to the total set of detected non-conformities, taking into account the frequency of their detection.
For each non-conformity shown, we generalized the facts of non-compliance with the established requirements and carried out analysis of possible reasons for emerging non-conformities.

To analyze the data collected, the factors had to be coded; those controlled criteria, to which the numeric values can be assigned, were taken for the factors. Results of coding are shown in the Table 1.

TABLE 1. CODING OF FACTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors affecting the testing laboratories operations</th>
<th>Representation symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>requirements to organization carrying out testing operations</td>
<td>a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requirements to management system</td>
<td>a2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requirements to staff qualification, training and experience</td>
<td>a3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requirements to testing premises and environment conditions</td>
<td>a4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>available methods of testing and calibration, as well as their acceptability appraisal</td>
<td>a5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requirements to equipment</td>
<td>a6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requirements to treatment of testing and calibration objects</td>
<td>a7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of generalized analysis of on-site expert examinations of testing laboratories are shown on the Fig. 1.

Before making a conclusion whether the factor is significant or not, let us build the frequency diagram, and based on this diagram, let us determine the number of projecting points for the scatter diagram, Fig. 3.

Use of successive screening algorithm, frequency diagram and Pareto Principle made it possible for us to single out tentatively significant factors under the $\eta$-rule, for our research it is $a_2$; others, according to the rule of successive screening, should be deemed insignificant (refer to Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. Scatter diagram for the factors affecting the laboratory operations.

At the same time, the “requirements to management system” factor stands out against the other factors and amounts to 52% of the total level of occurrence of all the factors.

However, it is not the only factor causing non-conformities. The frequency diagram made it possible to visually represent the factors, which, along with the quality management system, cause non-conformities [1, 21-25].

Ranking the factors according to the Pareto Principle and building the cumulative curve made it possible to identify the primary factors, which are as follows:
- requirements to management system;
- requirements to staff qualification, training and experience;
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- requirements to organization carrying out testing operations;
- requirements to equipment.

Fig. 3. Frequency diagram for the factors affecting the laboratory operations.

II. CONCLUSION

Therefore, when preparing to accreditation and carrying out their operations, testing laboratories should focus their attention on development of adequate quality management system and its intensive implementation. Besides, when outlining the area of accreditation, the testing laboratory should pay special attention to:

- maintaining adequate staffing with highly-qualified specialists constantly improving their skills;
- meeting the accreditation criteria as regards independency from commercial, financial and administrative influence, avoidance and settling conflicts of interest in each particular area;
- availability of equipment and its working condition, which make it possible to carry out testing in accordance with the methods declared in the area of one’s accreditation.
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