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Abstract—This paper studied the feasibility of treating the acid mine drainage (AMD) by using a process of permeable reactive barrier (PRB), in which coal fly ash (CFA) was used as the reaction medium. The adjustment of pH and the removal of COD, sulfate and three kinds of heavy metal ions (Cd²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺) were illustrated. The results showed that the coal fly ash was effective in treating AMD, and the highest removal rates for COD and sulfate could be obtained in 12 h with 53.4% and 60.4%, respectively; the maximum removal efficiencies of Cd²⁺, Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺ were 42.9%, 74.8% and 26.7%; Besides, in this work, the modification of CFA was conducted by using an acid modification method. In comparison to the original CFA, the modified coal fly ash presented not too bad and displayed a better treatment effect toward the acid mine wastewater. MCFA have higher removal rate of heavy metal ions (Cd²⁺, Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺), the removal rate of Cu²⁺ even reached 91.85%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Acid mine drainage (AMD) is an unavoidable by-product of the mining and mineral industry, especially as far as the oxidation of sulphate minerals is concerned. It typically contain high concentrations of dissolved heavy metals and sulphate, and can have pH values as low as 2[1]. These conditions may prohibit discharge of untreated acid mine waters into public streams, as they have a detrimental effect on aquatic plant and fish life. Similarly, ground water pollution caused by the drainage of acid mine water is an equally serious problem. Permeable reactive barrier (PRB) are a sustainable technology that can operate over a long time scale with low maintenance [2]. It use the natural hydraulic gradient of the groundwater plume to move the contaminants through the reactive zone giving it an advantage over traditional pump-and-treat technologies by being more cost effective and lower maintenance in the long-term[3]. PRB technology has been successful in remediating a variety of groundwater contaminants including heavy metals [4], organics [5] and radio nuclides. Most PRBs have been installed on industrial, mining and agricultural sites around the world [3–5]. A variety of reactive materials and sorbents, which can be used separately or in combination depending on the groundwater contamination, have been successful in remediating contaminated groundwater in PRBs. These materials, such as Fe⁰-filings, peat [3], limestone [5], granular activated carbon [6,7] and zeolite [4], are easily available and some are fairly inexpensive. Over the past decade, much work has been done on improving site characterization techniques, developing reactive materials/sorbents, and the installation and design of PRBs.

Coal fly ash is the waste product of coal-burning power plants. Worldwide generation of coal fly ash is about 500 million tons per year [8], which may cause serious environmental problems. In recent years, coal fly ash has been used as cement additive, structural filler, and for road base stabilization [9–11]. Some researchers tested the utilization of coal fly ash as adsorbent to remove heavy metals from wastewater [8, 12–14]. Because of insufficient adsorption capacity, coal fly ash was often modified by soaking in acid or alkaline solution. The chemically modified coal fly ash generally showed larger specific surface area and higher pore volume with low energy consumption, especially when soaked in acid solution [15–17]. In view of the above situation, this paper studied the performance of coal fly ash as the filler of PRB to treat acid mine wastewater, and at the same time, coal fly ash were modified by acid method, and examined the treatment effect of modified coal fly ash (MCFA). It provide a theoretical basis for the treatment of acid mine wastewater by use of CFA as the filler of PRB and made a contribution to resource conservation.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Materials

Simulated acid mine drainage was used in this study. The simulated mine drainage was formulated based on the analysis of mine water samples collected from the field in Anhui province. It was prepared by dissolving the following salts in deionized water: K₂HPO₄, NH₄Cl, Na₂SO₄, CaCl₂•2H₂O, MgSO₄•7H₂O, Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂•6H₂O and 70% sodium lactate solution. And then different concentrations of heavy metal ions were added, and the pH of the simulated AMD was adjusted to 4 using hydrochloric acid. All the chemicals used for the simulated mine water solution were analytical grade. The simulated mine water was analyzed to confirm the exact concentrations. The properties of the simulated acid mine drainage were summarized in Table 1.

The PRB reactor used in the experiment was an organic glass column cylinder designed by myself, its diameter is 6cm, 60cm high, water inlet from 5cm at the bottom of the reactor, outlet from 6 cm at the top of the reactor.
### Table 1: Properties of Simulated Acid Mine Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>3004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>2704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>7.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu$^{2+}$</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$^{2+}$</td>
<td>10.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coal fly ash used in the study was taken from the Thermal Power Station in Wuhu, China, which was residue of anthracite combustion using a pulverized coal fired furnace with an exit gas temperature of 1020°C. The coal fly ash was oven-dried at 105°C for 4 h and sieved with 100 meshes before use. Chemical composition of the raw coal fly ash (RCFA) was shown in Table 2.

### Table 2: Chemical Composition of the Raw Coal Fly Ash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Content%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>54.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>28.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_3$</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Instrument and Reagent

Experimental apparatus: UV1901 double beam UV-visible spectrophotometer; WFX-110 flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer; BN2823 electronic scales; PHSJ-3f laboratory pH meter; high speed tabletop centrifuge; electric drum wind drying oven etc.

### C. Experimental Method and Steps

1) **The preparation method of modified coal fly ash:** The dried coal fly ash was soaked in a mixture of sulfuric acid and hydrochloric acid solution at room temperature. During coal fly ash soaking, the mixture was stirred with a speed of 30 rpm for 2 h. After filtration of the mixture, the treated coal fly ash was dried at 105°C to a constant weight, milled and sieved with 100 meshes. The modified coal fly ash (MCFA) was obtained.

2) **Experimental steps:** 50 cm high coal fly ash was dosed into the PRB reactor, and the AMD was fed by a peristaltic pump at a flow of 1.15 mL/min (5 r/min). The sample was centrifuged from the outlet in a certain time and items including pH, COD, sulfate and heavy metal ions were measured.

3) **Analysis method:** Heavy metal ion content by atomic absorption spectrophotometry; PH by the determination of pH meter; Determination of COD using the standard potassium dichromate titration method; SO$_4^{2-}$ content using barium chromate spectrophotometry.

### III. Experimental Results and Discussion

#### A. Effect of pH Adjustment for AMD

From Figure 1, it is clear that CFA has a good effect to adjust the pH of AMD and can sustained for a long time, it can still adjust pH from 4.0 to 6.0 when the reaction time was 200 h, which could provide a good acid and alkali environment for the subsequent biological treatment. As the MCFA was modified by the way of chemical acid modification, the positive charge on the surface of CFA was increased compared to the original one, and thus the pH adjustment was not satisfactory, the initial adjustment effect was not obvious, when the reaction time was 48 h there was almost no adjustment effect.

![Figure 1. Effect of pH adjustment](image1)

#### B. Treatment Effect of COD

As can be seen from Figure 2, the initial COD concentration was about 3000 mg/L, the removal rate of CFA about COD reached the highest of 53.4% when the reaction was 12 h and decreased as time increased, when the reaction time was 200 h the COD removal rate can be maintained at about 20%, while almost no treatment effect was obtained after 250 h. Treatment effect of MCFA about COD was slightly inferior to CFA, the maximum removal rate was 30%, the durability of MCFA was in line to the CFA.

![Figure 2. Treatment effect of COD](image2)
removal rate can be maintained at about 28%. Compared to CFA, treatment effect of MCF was not obvious, the maximum removal rate of \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) was 36% when the reaction was 12 h, and basically reached adsorption saturation after 120 h.

Using CFA as the reaction medium of PRB have good removal effect of three kinds of heavy metal ions (\( \text{Cd}^{2+} \), \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \)). The maximum removal of \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) was 42.9% and soon reached adsorption saturation when reaction time was 120 h. The treatment effect of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) was the best with the highest removal rate of 74.8%, it has the longest durability and the removal rate of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) is still 40% after 120 h. The removal rate of \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) can reach 26.7% and gradually weakened as the reaction time increased.

**D. Treatment Effect of Three Kinds of Heavy Metal Ions (\( \text{Cd}^{2+}, \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \))**

As can be seen from Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6, Compared with CFA, MCF showed better results. The treatment effect of heavy metal ions has greatly improved, the removal rate of \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) has more than doubled, the removal efficiency of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) also significantly improved. From Figure 4, it is clear that the removal rate of \( \text{Cd}^{2+} \) reached as high as 82.4% during the first 24 h, the treatment effect is remarkable within 100 hours. As shown in Figure 5, it reach the highest removal rate of 91.85% for \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) when reaction time was 36 h and a high removal rate is maintained between 36 h to 120 h. Removal rate of \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) increased from 26.6% to 57.2% and gradually weakened as the reaction time increased.

**IV. Conclusions**

In this study, CFA and MCF was investigated as a reaction medium of PRB for treatment of AMD at a starting pH of 4.0. Based on the experimental results, CFA showed a good adjustment effect for the pH of AMD, which enables the adjustment of pH from 4.0 to 7.0 or above, and can be maintained for a long time, it also have well removal effect of COD and \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \). Our experimental data reveal that MCF has higher removal rate of heavy metal ions (\( \text{Cd}^{2+}, \text{Cu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \)). MCF shows promising potential for controlling AMD because of its low cost and high efficiency. High specific surface areas and small pore diameters are beneficial for pollutants removal efficiency. Modified CFA by acid method has simple process, and it can get good effect while have a low-cost, can also achieve the purpose of using waste treat waste which has a good application prospect. In the actual repair process, CFA can be combined with other reaction medium, or fixed high-degrading bacteria in the reaction material, made of bio-reactive material, and its removal effect will be greatly improved.
what’s more, it can extend the service life of materials. However, it will be necessary to design and execute some more detailed experiments to explore further application of CFA and other adsorbents for clean-up of the AMD, which can be treated at the mine to some degree, usually by neutralization at source by limestone, settling and tailings ponds or wetlands, although this process will not be ever fully efficient and accidents with the treatment system are inevitable.
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