No-reference video quality assessment model based on eye tracking datas
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Abstract—This paper describes a novel no-reference video quality assessment (VQA) model which is based on eye tracking datas for H.264 coding videos. This assessment model is based on the blur and the blockiness. The eye tracking datas were used to the no-reference video quality assessment. The experimental results show that the assessment model has better performance in terms of both the prediction accuracy and the computation complexity.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the advent of information era, the development of computer, communication and network technology is advancing rapidly. Video compression techniques H.264 is widely used due to the limitation of bandwidth and storage capacity. The distortions were introduced in videos as the techniques decrease the bit rate in encoding. Generally speaking, the most accurate way to determine the quality of a video is by measuring it using psychophysical experiments with human subjects, which is a time-consuming, expensive process and non-automatic. Therefore, developing objective quality assessment metrics to measure the video quality without the presence of subjects is more urgent.[1]

Objective video quality assessment models play an important role in the video compression and communication field. Traditional objective quality metrics can be classified into the following three categories by its dependence on the original videos: Full-reference (FR) models need the original videos as reference[7]-[11], reduce-reference (RR) metrics depend on partial information associated with the original signal[12]-[15], and no-reference (NR) models that evaluate the quality of videos only using the signals receive at the terminals[16]-[20]. Actually, it is difficult to obtain the original video signals in most video received terminals, and the transmission of the signals will occupy certain limited bandwidth. Therefore, NR metrics have a better applicability in the real life. In recent years, a lot of no-reference algorithms were proposed for the estimation of compressed coded video artifacts in order to resolve the higher computation complexity of pixel domain[21].

In this paper the following video artifacts were considered to relate to compressed coded video signals: (i) blurring, (ii) blocking, and (iii) motion related. A way to design a single no-reference video quality assessment framework through using of eye tracking datas was presented. In section 2, the subjective experiment is described, while video quality assessment framework is represented in section 3. Saliency-based ROI metric is described in section 4. The experimental results is given in Section 5. Finally, the conclusion of this paper is presented in section 6.

II. DETAILS OF SUBJECTIVE EXPERIMENT

A. Sources Sequences

Four uncompressed, high-quality, source videos of natural scenes that are freely available for download from the Laboratory for Image and Video Engineering (LIVE) Video Quality Database[6] were used in this experiment. The videos which contain typical spatial features and time characteristics were chosen. All videos have a resolution of 1920×1080 pixels. The ITU-T organization suggests applying the Spatial Information (SI) and the Temporal Information (TI) as the measurement of complexity in spatial and temporal area[5]. The formula of the SI and the TI are shown below.

\[ \text{SI} = \max_{\text{time}} \left( \text{std}_{\text{space}} [\text{Sobel}(F_n)] \right) \]  
\[ \text{TI} = \max_{\text{time}} \left( \text{std}_{\text{time}} [M_n(i,j)] \right) \]

In the formular, \( F_n \) is the present frame of the sequence, \( F_{n-1} \) is the previous frame.

\[ M_n(i,j) = F_n(i,j) - F_{n-1}(i,j) \]

The SI as the Y-axis and TI as the X-axis are used to built spatial-temporal information coordinate system. The original videos selected should contain the four categories.

Fig.1. Spatial-temporal coordinate system
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III. VQA FRAMEWORK DESCRIPTION

A. Proposed blur metric

The blur metric was based on the analysis of the spread of the edges in an image. The novel metric had low computational complexity and was shown to perform well over a range of video content.[2]

The measurement of blur was always defined in the spatial domain. It was perceptually apparent along edges or textured areas. The blur metric in this paper was based on the smoothing effect of blur on edges, and the spread of the edges was served as the blur measurement.

Firstly, the edge detector was applied to find the horizontal and vertical edges in the image, then each row or column of the image was scanned. For pixels which corresponded to the edge location, the start and end positions of the edge were defined as the local extreme locations to the edge. The edge width was the difference between the end and the start and the end positions, and was defined as the local blur measure for this edge location. Finally, the global blur measure for the entire image was acquired by computing the average of the local blur values over all edge locations. More details refer to paper [2].

The following computational formula is the blur of one image

\[
\begin{align*}
B_p(i, j) &= \text{Diff}(\text{pic}(x, i; j)) \\
B_p(i) &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \text{blur}(i, j)} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} B_p(i, j) \times \text{blur}(i, j)
\end{align*}
\]  

(4)

\[
B_v = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} B_p(i)
\]  

(5)

B. Proposed blockiness metric

In this paper, a blockiness assessment metric of image[3] were developed. Firstly, the effect of motion to the frame image of the video was weighted, and then different weights to blockiness value of each frame were
given, lastly the blockiness value of whole video was received.

Firstly, the accurate position of block boundaries using a detection phase metric were decided. Block’s surrounding content with a restricted extent after confirming the all possible blocking artifacts in each image was analysed. It is made up of two parallel procedures: (1) a local blockiness metric (LBM), computing the scale of distortion on the pixel level; and (2) human vision model (HVS), evaluating the degree of artifact and obtaining a visibility coefficient (VC), then integrated the LBM value and VC value into the local perceptual blockiness metric (LPBM).

At last, the LPBM detected in the whole image were averaged to receive the blockiness value of the whole image (NPBM). More details refer to paper [3].

The human eyes are more interested in the mobile objects and neglecting the most background information. Therefore, we not only applied the blockiness value of the frame, but took into account the effect of motion information to visual perception. Based on the different degree of motion in each frame to give various weight, finally the blockiness value of the video was obtained. Specific equations are shown below.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Block}_{v} &= \frac{1}{N_{v}} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{v}} \text{Block}_{f}(i) \\
\text{Block}_{f} &= M_{\text{NPBM}}(i) \times \left[ 1 + \frac{M_{f}(i)}{M \times N} + F_{f}(i) \right]
\end{align*}
\]

IV. Saliency-Based Model of Visual Attention

In this paper we used a computational model to form fixation density map from eye movement experiment [4]. Firstly, the eye tracking data which contained all fixations in the experiment were extracted from the SMI Begaze software. Then the eye tracking data were dealt with using special characteristics such as the position of x and y. Finally, the perfect data were computed by the saliency-based model to form a human attention map.

The saliency-based algorithm was made up of two steps. Firstly, the synchronism question between positions and frames of the image using the start time and the end time was solved. The corresponding fixations in each frame were acquired based on the condition that \( t_{\text{start}} \leq nT \leq t_{\text{end}} \). n is the frame number of the video. T is the duration time of one frame video. Secondly, it is the question that we visualized the eye tracking data to receive weighted matrix of ROI area in each frame video. We established a zeros matrix of 1920x1080, which is similar to the original image. We conducted Gaussian filtering to the ROI map, then normalized the ROI weighted matrix to [0, 1]. The following formula is the Gaussian filtering function.

\[
f(x, y, t) = (at + (1 - \alpha)) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)
\]

\( \alpha \) is the standard deviation of Gaussian function using simulating fovea centralis, it is 72 in this paper. \( \alpha \) is the weight of duration time in the ROI weighted matrix, we took it 0. Fig. 3 describes the ROI image of the original video.

![Fig. 3. ROI image](image)
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V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We tested performance of the proposed objective model using the Pearson Linear Correlation (PLCC) in the SPSS.

Table I shows the performance of proposed blur model in the terms of the PLCC for each original video and each ROI video.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Videos</th>
<th>Original video(PLCC)</th>
<th>ROI video(PLCC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>-0.967</td>
<td>-0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>-0.984</td>
<td>-0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lion</td>
<td>-0.928</td>
<td>-0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halftime</td>
<td>-0.983</td>
<td>-0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>-0.685</td>
<td>-0.805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II shows the performance of proposed block model in the terms of the PLCC for each original video and each ROI video.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Videos</th>
<th>Original video(PLCC)</th>
<th>ROI video(PLCC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>-0.962</td>
<td>-0.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>-0.976</td>
<td>-0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lion</td>
<td>-0.952</td>
<td>-0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halftime</td>
<td>-0.995</td>
<td>-0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>-0.624</td>
<td>-0.727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III shows the average running time of whole original videos and whole ROI videos in the terms of the blur algorithm.
Table IV shows the average running time of whole original videos and whole ROI videos in the terms of the block algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average time(min)</th>
<th>Original video</th>
<th>ROI video</th>
<th>improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSIONS

A novel NR VQA algorithm based on eye tracking data is proposed in this paper. The objective video quality is measured considering the impacts of two key artifacts during the compression process: blur and blocking. The experimental results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm shows not only higher correlation, but lower computation complexity.

As part of the experiment, we recorded eye tracking data of every frame in each video as the subjects viewed the videos. On the one hand, we intended to make use of the data to form ROI videos which were computed by the blur and blocking model later. Because the performance of the no-reference VQA is far less mature than full-reference VQA, the goal to improve subjective and objective correlation and reduce calculation complexity is mainly accomplished using eye tracking data in this paper. On the other hand, we will intend to develop objective ROI model in the future and the subjective eye tracking experiment will be served as a verification.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the National Program on Key Basic Research Project (973 Program) project (2010CB327705), the National High-tech R&D Program of China (863 Program) (2012AA03A302) and the Ph.D Program Foundation of Ministry of Education of China(20120092120024).

REFERENCE