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Abstract—Within a supply chain, order allocation plays a key role for procurement management. This paper researches a company can order crucial raw materials, for example crude oil, from multiple suppliers. At the same time, order allocation is an important multiple criteria decision making problem including cost, disruption risk, quality and service etc. We wish the total purchasing cost is minimized, and we can gain a high service quality. Meanwhile, we defined different disruption penalty function in three scenarios. Finally, we have developed an integrated multi-objective decision model to optimize order allocation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Order allocation problem includes how one company should determine order quantities in many potential candidates. Today enterprises face more and more tremendous competitive pressures and challenge, purchasing cost is a significant factor of affecting company competitive capability. In most industries the cost of raw materials and component parts constitutes the main cost of a product, particularly in some cases it can account for up to 70% (Ghobadian, 1993). In some high technology firms, purchased materials and services represent up to 80% of total product cost (Weber, 1991). In such environment, researchers and practitioners are more concerned about order allocation than before.

At the same time, order allocation is a multi-criteria decision making problem, which is affected by several conflicting factors including cost, quality, delivery and service etc. It is noted that multiple criteria usually are unequally important. Consequently a purchasing manager must analyze the trade off among the several criteria. At any time of an order, decisions have to be made by the company concerning the allocation of products to the suppliers and the respective order quantities, so that the total purchasing cost is minimized, while maintaining a specified service level. Current researchers have published some papers about order allocation process. Pan [2] proposed multiple sourcing for improving the reliability of supply for critical materials, in which more than one supplier is used and the demand is split between them. Most purchasing managers agree that buying from more than one vendor will protect the buying firm in the case of shortages. Narasimhan and Stoyloff [20] applied a single objective, mixed integer programming model to a large manufacturing firm in the Midwest, to optimize the allocation procurement for a group of vendors. The objective of this model is to minimize the sum of the shipping and the penalty costs. The model constraints are related to vendors’ production capabilities and demand. Kingsman [7] stated that one of the most important problems which has received little attention from OR practitioners are the purchasing of materials whose prices are continually fluctuating in a stochastic manner over time. He discussed conceptually linear programming and dynamic programming as tools for purchasing raw materials with fluctuating prices. Yang[25] studied a supplier selection problem, where a buyer, while facing random demand, is to decide ordering quantities from a set of suppliers with different yields and prices. They provided the mathematical formulation for the buyer’s profit maximization problem and proposed a solution method based on a combination of the active set method and the Newton search procedure. Buffa and Jackson [6] presented a schedule purchase for a single product over a defined planning horizon via a goal programming model considering price, quality and delivery criteria. It included buyer’s specification such as material requirement and safety stock. Basnet and Leung [5] balance ordering and holding costs in a multi-item model by considering a multi-period scheduling horizon. They proposed an uncapacitated mixed linear integer programming that minimizes the aggregate purchasing, ordering and holding costs subject to demand satisfaction. Syam Menon[23] developed a modal for allocating customer orders to machines so as to minimize the total cost of production. It can be formulated as a dual-angular integer program, with identical machines inducing symmetry to solve decomposable dual-angular integer programs and successfully applies it to solve the problem from the paper industry. Ezgi Aktar Demirtas [13] developed a multi-objective mixed integer linear programming (MOMILP) for order allocation. This modal is proposed to define the
optimum quantities among the selected suppliers under deterministic constraint. Vaidyanathan Jayaraman [26] presented a comprehensive model. The objective of the order allocation model minimized both fixed and variable cost over a given planning period. Xiangtong Q[29] studied an integrated decision making model for a supply chain system where a manufacturer faced a price sensitive demand and multiple capacitated suppliers, two issues that were often considered separately in the literature. The goal was to maximize total profit by determining an optimal selling price and at the same time acquiring enough supplying capacity.

However, the past studies shown above have little literature under considering disruption risk. Ghodsypour [21] developed a mixed integer non-linear programming model to solve the multiple sourcing problem, which takes into account the total cost of logistics, including net price, storage, transportation and ordering costs. Buyer limitations on budget, quality, service, etc. can also be considered in the model.

Kelle[11] provided the optimal split in multi-sourcing frequently provides a lower stock-out risk if the variability of the lead-time demand is considerable. Smeltzer and Siferd [18] clearly pointed out that when an organization reduces its supplier base, it relies on fewer suppliers for critical materials, possibly increasing the risk of an interruption of supply. Ruengsak Kawtummachai [14] developed a solution algorithm and tested it to allocate order of products to the suppliers so that the total purchasing cost is minimized, while maintaining a specified service level. The problem of how to allocate orders to the proper suppliers tends to be an important topic, especially in case of the crude oil order allocation problem.

Therefore, in this paper, we have tried to construct a practical and meaningful method that will be used to allocate orders to the selected supplier in order to guarantee high steady supply levels. Our research is of value to both academics as well as practitioners. The factors that we have used to allocate the order consist of percentage of disruption risk and order price. We seek to examine the interactions between supply disruption mitigation and supply order cost, and to investigate the role of order allocation method in alleviating disruption risk. For this observation, we construct the integrated multi-objective decision model.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2, this paper presents the integrated multi-objective decision model. Finally, we have made conclusions and future study.

II. MODEL

We use the following notations throughout this paper.

\[ \begin{align*}
  D_{ir} & \quad \text{Demand for item } i \text{ in the } r\text{th order} \\
  V_{ij} & \quad \text{Capacity of the } j\text{th supplier for item } i \\
  X_{ij} & \quad \text{Order quantity supplied by supplier } j \text{ for item } i \\
  S_j & \quad \text{Supplier } j (S_j=1 \text{ if supplier } j \text{ is selected for purchase}; \text{otherwise } S_j=0) \\
  F(X_{ij}) & \quad \text{Penalty function of } X_{ij} \\
  q_{ij} & \quad \text{Quality rate for material } i \text{ from } j\text{th supplier} \\
  C_{ij} & \quad \text{Unit cost for supplier } j \text{ to supply item } i \\
  O_j & \quad \text{Order cost for supplier } j \text{ to supply material } i \\
  W_h & \quad \text{The weight of the } h\text{th objective} \\
  Z & \quad \text{Objective function} 
\end{align*} \]

Objective functions

Cost— the sum of material cost, order cost and disruption loss should be minimized; therefore,

Min \( f_1(X_{ij}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{ij} C_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} O_j q_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} dq_{ij} F(X_{ij}) \)

Max \( f_2(X_{ij}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij} q_{ij} \)

The overall purpose of AIMODMURC is to minimize the sum of the purchasing cost (supply disruption risk) and maximize item quality. Each goal has distinct weight in this model; as a result, different weights will be attached to two goals.

The objective function of the modal is:

\[ Z= W_1 f_1(X_{ij}) + W_2 f_2(X_{ij}) \]

\[ = W_1 \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij} C_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} O_j q_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} dq_{ij} F(X_{ij}) \right) \]

\[ + W_2 \max \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij} q_{ij} \right) \]

\[ W_1 + W_2 = 1 \]

The proposed modal will help to make better supply order allocation by minimizing the sum of material cost (supply disruption risk) and maximizing quality, which are considered simultaneously but are weighted according to their relative importance.
III. CONCLUSION

Order allocation is one of the most important decision-making problems. In real situations, it is a multiple criteria decision-making problem in which the objectives and the constraints are not equally important. Penalty functions were developed for solving the problem of order allocation. Thus, the proposed model in this paper can help the users to choose the appropriate order allocation.

However, this research still has many points that should be further studied. For example, material reserve quantities in company are important to allocate order among supplier. We hope that future model can joint this aspect.
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