

# Representations of the $Q$ -deformed Euclidean Algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$ and Spectra of their Operators

I.I. KACHURIK

*Khmelnitsky Technological University, Khmelnytsky, Ukraine*

## Abstract

Representations of the  $q$ -deformed Euclidean algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$ , which at  $q \rightarrow 1$  gives the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{iso}_3$  of the Euclidean Lie group  $ISO(3)$ , are studied. Explicit formulas for operators of irreducible  $*$ -representations defined by two parameters  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$  are given. At  $q \rightarrow 1$ , these representations exhaust all irreducible infinite-dimensional  $*$ -representations of  $U(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$ . The spectrum of the operator  $T_{\rho,s}(I_3)$  corresponding to a  $q$ -analogue of the infinitesimal operator of shifts along the third axis is given. Contrary to the case of the classical Euclidean algebra  $\mathfrak{iso}_3$ , this spectrum is discrete and has one point of accumulation.

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study irreducible representations of the  $q$ -deformed Euclidean algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$  defined on the base of the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  given in [1] (see also [2]). The classical Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{so}_3$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  are isomorphic. The algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  from [1] differs from the quantum algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  defined by Drinfeld [3] and Jimbo [4]. Namely,  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is defined by means of the Cartan subalgebra and root subspaces of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . The  $q$ -deformed algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  is a  $q$ -deformation of the defining relations  $[J_1, J_2] = J_3$ ,  $[J_2, J_3] = J_1$ ,  $[J_3, J_1] = J_2$ .

Adding to  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  the generator  $I_3$  corresponding to infinitesimal shifts along the third axis and postulating commutation relations of  $I_3$  with other generators, we obtain the  $q$ -deformed algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$ . This algebra is a  $q$ -deformation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{iso}_3$  of the Euclidean group  $ISO(3)$  which is the semidirect product of the rotation group  $SO(3)$  and the translation group of a 3-dimensional Euclidean space. There are difficulties with definition of the Hopf algebra structure in this algebra. We do not consider this problem here and only note that our algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$  can be embedded into the quantum algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iu}_3)$  (the  $q$ -deformation of the Lie algebra of the inhomogeneous unitary group). The last quantum algebra is equipped with the structure of a Hopf algebra.

We construct infinite-dimensional irreducible representations of the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$ . They are given by two numbers  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ . Unfortunately, we cannot state that they exhaust all irreducible  $*$ -representations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$ . But at  $q \rightarrow 1$ , they give all irreducible  $*$ -representations of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{iso}_3$ . Thus, we can state that we constructed

$q$ -deformations of all irreducible  $*$ -representations of  $\mathfrak{so}_3$ . Remark that irreducible  $*$ -representations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  of class 1 with respect to the subalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  (that is, in the spaces of these representations, there exist vectors invariant with respect to this subalgebra) were constructed in [5].

We find the spectrum and spectral measure of the representation operator corresponding to the generator  $I_3$  of  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ . This operator is bounded and has a discrete spectrum. It is interesting that in the classical case (i. e., when  $q = 1$ ) this operator is bounded and has a continuous spectrum. We could find this spectrum and the spectral measure by means of involving into consideration the theory of  $q$ -orthogonal polynomials [6, 7]. The operators  $T_{\rho,s}(I_3)$  are representable by Jacobi matrices. Thus, we can employ the theory of such operators [8] and this leads to the theory of  $q$ -orthogonal polynomials.

Everywhere below we assume that the deformation parameter  $q$  lies in the finite interval  $0 < q < 1$ .

## 2. The $q$ -deformed algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ and its representations

The algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  is a  $q$ -deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$  of the rotation group  $SO(3)$ . It is generated by three elements  $I_{21}, I_{32}$  and  $I_{31}$  satisfying the relations

$$[I_{21}, I_{32}]_{q^{1/4}} \equiv q^{1/4} I_{21} I_{32} - q^{-1/4} I_{32} I_{21} = I_{31}, \quad (1)$$

$$[I_{32}, I_{31}]_{q^{1/4}} = I_{21}, \quad [I_{31}, I_{21}]_{q^{1/4}} = I_{32}. \quad (2)$$

Unfortunately, a Hopf algebra structure is not known on  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ . Nevertheless, it is shown [9] that we can consider tensor products of irreducible finite dimensional representations which are  $q$ -deformations of irreducible representations of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}_3$ .

Let us remark that according to (1), the element  $I_{31}$  is determined by  $I_{21}$  and  $I_{32}$ . Thus, the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  can be defined by  $I_{21}$  and  $I_{32}$ , but now, instead of the quadratic relations (1) and (2), we must take the cubic relations [10]

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} I_{32}^2 - (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) I_{32} I_{21} I_{32} + I_{32}^2 I_{21} &= -I_{21}, \\ I_{21}^2 I_{32} - (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) I_{21} I_{32} I_{21} + I_{32} I_{21}^2 &= -I_{32} \end{aligned}$$

which can be written down in the form

$$[[I_{21}, I_{32}]_{q^{1/4}}, I_{32}]_{q^{-1/4}} = -I_{21}, \quad [[I_{32}, I_{21}]_{q^{1/4}}, I_{21}]_{q^{-1/4}} = -I_{32}.$$

The formulas  $I_{21}^* = -I_{21}$  and  $I_{32}^* = -I_{32}$  determine the  $*$ -algebra structure on  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ . The formulas  $I_{21}^* = -I_{21}$  and  $I_{32}^* = I_{32}$  determine on  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  the  $*$ -structure defining the  $q$ -deformed algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2,1})$ .

We need below only those irreducible representations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  which are  $q$ -deformations of irreducible representations of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}_3$ . These representations are given by nonnegative integers or half-integers  $l$ . The representation  $T_l$ , labeled by a number  $l$ , acts on the linear space  $V_l$  with the orthonormal basis

$$|m\rangle, \quad m = -l, -l+1, \dots, l, \quad (3)$$

and is given in terms of  $q$ -numbers  $[a] = (q^{a/2} - q^{-a/2})/(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})$  by the formulas

$$T_l(I_{21})|m\rangle = i[m]|m\rangle, \quad (4)$$

$$T_l(I_{32})|m\rangle = d(m)([l-m][l+m+1])^{1/2}|m+1\rangle - d(m-1)([l-m+1][l+m])^{1/2}|m-1\rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_l(I_{31})|m\rangle = & iq^{1/2}\{q^m d(m)([l-m][l+m+1])^{1/2}|m+1\rangle \\ & + q^{-m} d(m-1)([l-m+1][l+m])^{1/2}|m-1\rangle\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and

$$d(m) = \left( \frac{[m][m+1]}{[2m][2m+2]} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{1}{(q^m + q^{-m})(q^{m+1} + q^{-m-1})} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let us note that the operators  $T_l(I_{21})$  and  $T_l(I_{32})$  are anti-Hermitian. The operator  $T_l(I_{31})$  is not anti-Hermitian. Relations (1) and (2) do not allow us to make both operators  $T_l(I_{32})$  and  $T_l(I_{31})$  anti-Hermitian since the element  $I_{31}$  is not invariant under the  $*$ -operation.

Note that  $T_l$ ,  $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots$ , do not exhaust all irreducible representations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ . The classification of irreducible  $*$ -representations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  is given in [11].

### 3. The $q$ -deformed algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$

In order to construct the  $q$ -deformed algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$ , we add to the generating elements  $I_{21}$  and  $I_{32}$  of the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$  the element  $I_3$  which satisfies the relations

$$[I_3, I_{21}] \equiv I_3 I_{21} - I_{21} I_3 = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$I_{32}^2 I_3 - (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) I_{32} I_3 I_{32} + I_3 I_{32}^2 = -I_3, \quad (8)$$

$$I_3^2 I_{32} - (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) I_3 I_{32} I_3 + I_{32} I_3^2 = 0. \quad (9)$$

The associative algebra generated by the elements  $I_{i,i-1}$ ,  $i = 2, 3$ , and  $I_3$  obeying relations (1), (2) and (7)–(9) is denoted by  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}(3, \mathbf{C}))$ . Introducing the involution (antilinear antiautomorphism)

$$I_{i,i-1}^* = -I_{i,i-1}, \quad I_3^* = -I_3 \quad (10)$$

into  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}(3, \mathbf{C}))$ , we obtain the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$ . The involution

$$I_{21}^* = -I_{21}, \quad I_{32}^* = I_{32}, \quad I_3^* = -I_3 \quad (11)$$

determines the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_{2,1})$ .

If  $q \rightarrow 1$ , then the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$  tends to the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of the Euclidean group  $ISO(3)$  (the group of motions of a 3-dimensional Euclidean space).

The element  $I_3$  is a  $q$ -analogue of the infinitesimal operator for shifts along to the third axis. We may determine the elements in  $U_q(\mathfrak{iso}_3)$  which are  $q$ -analogues of infinitesimal operators for shifts along the first and second axes. They are given by

$$I_2 = q^{1/4} I_{32} I_3 - q^{-1/4} I_3 I_{32}, \quad I_1 = q^{1/4} I_{31} I_3 - q^{-1/4} I_3 I_{31} \equiv q^{1/4} I_{21} I_2 - q^{-1/4} I_2 I_{21}.$$

However, the elements  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are not invariant under the  $*$ -operation.

The algebra  $U_q(\text{iso}_3)$  can be obtained by means of the contraction from the algebra  $U_q(\text{so}_4)$ . The last algebra is a generalization of the algebra  $U_q(\text{so}_3)$  and is generated by the elements  $I_{i,i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , satisfying the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} [I_{43}, I_{21}] &\equiv I_{43}I_{21} - I_{21}I_{43} = 0, \\ I_{i,i-1}^2 I_{i+1,i} - (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) I_{i,i-1} I_{i+1,i} I_{i,i-1} + I_{i+1,i} I_{i,i-1}^2 &= -I_{i+1,i}, \\ I_{i+1,i}^2 I_{i,i-1} - (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) I_{i+1,i} I_{i,i-1} I_{i+1,i} + I_{i,i-1} I_{i+1,i}^2 &= -I_{i,i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $i = 2, 3$ . Replacing  $I_{43}$  by  $RI_3$  in the last two relations taken for  $i = 3$  and tending  $R$  to infinity, we obtain the defining relations for the algebra  $U_q(\text{iso}_3)$ .

## 4. Representations of $U_q(\text{iso}_3)$

We describe those irreducible infinite-dimensional  $*$ -representations of  $U_q(\text{iso}_3)$  which are  $q$ -deformation of irreducible infinite-dimensional  $*$ -representations of the Lie algebra  $\text{iso}_3$  (they are infinitesimal forms of irreducible unitary representations of the group  $ISO(3)$ ). The last representations  $T'_{\rho,s}$  of  $\text{iso}_3$  are given by two numbers  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ . They act in the Hilbert space  $V_s$  with the orthonormal basis

$$|l, m\rangle, \quad l = |s|, |s| + 1, |s| + 2, \dots, \quad m = -l, -l + 1, \dots, l.$$

In fact, this basis is the set of bases  $|l, m\rangle$ ,  $m = -l, -l + 1, \dots, l$ , of irreducible representations of the subalgebra  $\text{so}(3)$  and the restriction of  $T'_{\rho,s}$  onto the subalgebra  $\text{so}_3$  decomposes into the sum of the irreducible representations  $T_l$  of this subalgebra, for which  $l = |s|, |s| + 1, \dots, \infty$ .

The corresponding irreducible representations of  $U_q(\text{iso}_3)$  are denoted by  $T_{\rho,s}$ , where  $\rho$  and  $s$  take the same values. The representation  $T_{\rho,s}$  acts in the space  $V_s$  described above and is given in the basis  $\{|l, m\rangle\}$  by (4) and (5) for the operators  $T_{\rho,s}(I_{21})$  and  $T_{\rho,s}(I_{32})$  and by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\rho,s}(I_3)|l, m\rangle &= i\rho \frac{[s][m]}{[l][l+1]}|l, m\rangle - \rho \left( \frac{[l+s][l-s][l+m][l-m]}{[l]^2[2l-1][2l+1]} \right)^{1/2} |l-1, m\rangle \\ &+ \rho \left( \frac{[l+s+1][l-s+1][l+m+1][l-m+1]}{[l+1]^2[2l+1][2l+3]} \right)^{1/2} |l+1, m\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where numbers in the square brackets are  $q$ -numbers.

It is proved by direct (but awkward) calculation that the operators  $T_{\rho,s}(I_{21})$ ,  $T_{\rho,s}(I_{32})$  and  $T_{\rho,s}(I_3)$  satisfy the defining relations of the algebra  $U_q(\text{iso}_3)$ . We omit this calculation.

**Theorem 1** *The representations  $T_{\rho,s}$ ,  $\rho \neq 0$ , are  $*$ -representations for  $U_q(\text{iso}_3)$ . They are irreducible and pairwise nonequivalent.*

**Proof.** It is checked by direct calculation that the operators  $T_{\rho,s}(I_{21})$ ,  $T_{\rho,s}(I_{32})$  and  $T_{\rho,s}(I_3)$  satisfy the conditions defining  $*$ -representations of  $U_q(\text{iso}_3)$ . Irreducibility of  $T_{\rho,s}$ ,  $\rho \neq 0$ , is proved by the standard method. Pairwise nonequivalence of these representations follows from the fact that the operator  $T_{\rho,s}(I_3)$  has different spectra for different values of the pair  $(\rho, s)$ . The spectrum of  $T_{\rho,s}(I_3)$  will be found in the next section.

## 5. Spectrum of the operator $T_{\rho,s}(I_3)$

Let us find the spectrum of the operator  $L_\rho = iT_{\rho,s}(I_3)$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . The carrier space  $V_s$  of the representation  $T_{\rho,s}$  can be represented as the direct sum  $V_s = \sum_m \otimes V_{sm}$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where

$$V_{sm} = \sum_{l=\max\{s,m\}}^{\infty} \otimes \mathbf{C}|l, m\rangle.$$

The subspaces  $V_{sm}$  are invariant with respect to the operator  $L_\rho$ . We shall find spectra of  $L_\rho$  on each of these subspaces. The spectrum of  $L_\rho$  on  $V_s$  is obtained by uniting these spectra.

Further we consider the vectors  $(-i)^{-l}|l, m\rangle$  instead of the vectors  $|l, m\rangle$ . In this case, the third summand in (12) must be multiplied by  $-i$  and the second one by  $i$ .

If  $|x, m\rangle$  is an eigenvector of the operator  $L_\rho$ :  $L_\rho|x, m\rangle = x|x, m\rangle$ , then

$$|x, m\rangle = \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} P_{l-k}(x)|l, m\rangle, \quad k = \max(|m|, |s|). \quad (13)$$

Formula (12) is symmetric with respect to permutation of  $s$  and  $m$  and to change of signs at  $m$  and  $s$ . Therefore, we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $s$  and  $m$  are positive and that  $s \geq m$ .

Substituting expression (13) for  $|x, m\rangle$  into the relation  $L_\rho|x, m\rangle = x|x, m\rangle$  and acting by  $L_\rho$  upon  $|l, m\rangle$ , we easily find that the vector  $|x, m\rangle$  is an eigenvector of  $L_\rho$  with the eigenvalue  $x$  if  $P_{l-k}$  satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{[n+2s+1][n+1][n+s+m+1][n+s-m+1]}{[n+s+1]^2[2n+2s+1][2n+2s+3]} \right)^{1/2} P_{n+1}(x) \\ & + \left( \frac{[n+2s][n][n+s+m][n+s-m]}{[n+s]^2[2n+2s-1][2n+2s+1]} \right)^{1/2} P_{n-1}(x) \\ & - \frac{[s][m]}{[n+s][n+s+1]} P_n(x) = \frac{x}{\rho} P_n(x) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

(here  $n = l - k$ ) and the initial conditions  $P_0(x) = 1$ ,  $P_{-1}(x) = 0$ .

Making in (14) the substitution

$$P_n(x) = q^{-n(n+2s+1)/4} \left( \frac{[n+2s]![n+s+m]![2n+2s+1]}{[n]![n+s-m]![s+m]![2s+1]} \right)^{1/2} P'_n(x),$$

where  $[n]! = [n][n-1] \dots [1]$ , we reduce (22) to the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1-q^{n+2s+1})(1-q^{n+s+m+1})(1+q^{n+s+1})}{(1-q^{2n+2s+1})(1-q^{2n+2s+2})} P'_{n+1}(x) \\ & + \frac{q^{n+2s+m+1}(1-q^n)(1+q^{n+s})(1-q^{n+s-m})}{(1-q^{2n+2s+1})(1-q^{2n+2s})} P'_{n-1}(x) \\ & - \frac{q^{n+s+1}(1-q^m)(1-q^s)}{(1-q^{n+s})(1-q^{n+s+1})} P'_n(x) = q^{(s+m+1)/2} \frac{x}{\rho} P'_n(x). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

To solve this recurrence relation, we use the following recurrence relation

$$A_n p_{n+1}(y) - C_n p_{n-1}(y) - (A_n - C_n - 1)p_n(y) = y p_n(y) \quad (16)$$

for big  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials [6]

$$p_n(y) \equiv p_n(y; a, b, c|q) = {}_3\varphi_2 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & abq^{n+1}, & y \\ & aq, & cq \end{matrix} ; q, q \right),$$

where  ${}_3\varphi_2$  is the  $q$ -hypergeometric function and

$$A_n = \frac{(1 - aq^{n+1})(1 - cq^{n+1})(1 - acq^{n+1})}{(1 - abq^{2n+1})(1 - abq^{2n+2})}, \quad C_n = \frac{(1 - q^n)(1 - bq^n)(1 - abc^{-1}q^n)acq^{n+1}}{(1 - abq^{2n})(1 - abq^{2n+1})}.$$

Setting into (16)

$$a = q^{s+m}, \quad b = q^{s-m}, \quad c = -q^s, \quad y = \frac{x}{\rho} q^{(m+s+1)/2}, \quad (17)$$

after some calculation, we reduce (16) to (15). This means that the solution of the recurrence relations (14), normed by the condition  $P_0(x) = 1$ , is the polynomial

$$P_n(x) = N_n^{1/2} p_n(y; q^{s+m}, q^{s-m}, -q^s | q), \quad (18)$$

where

$$N_n = q^{-n(n+2s+1)/2} \frac{[n+2s]![n+s+m]![s-m]![2n+2s+1]}{[n]![n+s-m]![s+m]![2s+1]}. \quad (19)$$

Note that the same result is obtained by setting  $a = b = -q^s$ ,  $c = q^{s+m}$  in (16) and retaining  $y$  from (17).

The big  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials in a general case satisfy the orthogonality relation, which can be given by formulas (7.3.12-14) from [6] (the formula (7.3.13) is corrected):

$$\int_{cq}^{aq} p_n(y; a, b, c|q) p_m(y; a, b, c|q) \mu(y) d_q y = \delta_{nm} / h_\infty \cdot h_n, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\mu(y) = (y/a; q)_\infty (y/c; q)_\infty / (y; q)_\infty (by/c; q)_\infty,$$

$$h_\infty = \frac{(aq; q)_\infty (bq; q)_\infty (cq; q)_\infty (abq/c; q)_\infty}{aq(1-q)(c/a; q)_\infty (aq/c; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty (abq^2; q)_\infty}$$

and

$$h_n = \frac{(1 - abq^{2n+1})(abq; q)_n (aq; q)_n (cq; q)_n}{(1 - abq)(q; q)_n (abq/c; q)_n (bq; q)_n} (-ac)^{-n} q^{-n(n+3)/2}.$$

Here  $(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i)$ ,  $(a; q)_n = (a; q)_\infty / (aq^n; q)_\infty$ . The integral on the left-hand side of (19) is understood as a  $q$ -integral, see [6]:

$$\int_a^b f(t) d_q t = \int_0^b f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t, \quad \int_0^a f(t) d_q t = a(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(aq^n) q^n.$$

Let us express  $\mu(y)$ ,  $h_\infty$ , and  $h_n$  through the parameters  $a, b, c$ , and  $y$  from (17). Taking into account that  $(aq^{-n}; q)_n = (-a)^n q^{-n(n+1)/2} (qa^{-1}; q)_n$ , we have

$$\mu(y) = (yq^{-s-m}; q)_{s+m} (-yq^{-s}; q)_s / (-yq^{-m}; q)_m.$$

With formulas

$$(-q^{n+1}; q)_\infty / (-q^{m+1}; q)_\infty = q^{-\alpha} [n]! [2m]!! / [m]! [2n]!!,$$

$$(-q^{n+1}; q)_\infty / (-q^{-m}; q)_\infty = q^{-\alpha} [n]! [m]! / 2 [2m]!! [2n]!!$$

and

$$(-q; q)_n = q^{n/2} [2n] (-1; q)_n / 2 [n] = q^{n(n+1)/4} [2n]!! / [n]!,$$

where  $\alpha = (n-m)(n+m+1)/4$ ,  $(q; q)_n = q^{n(n-1)/4} (1-q)^n [n]!$ ,  $(q^2; q^2)_n = q^{n^2/2} (1-q)^n [2n]!!$ ,  $[n]!! = [n][n-2] \cdots [1]$  or  $[2]$ , the expression for  $h_\infty$  can be transformed into the following:

$$h_\infty = \frac{1}{2} q^{-(s+m+2)/2} \left( \frac{[s]!}{[2s]!!} \right)^2 \frac{[2s+1]}{[s-m]! [s+m]!}.$$

For  $a = b = q^{-s}$ ,  $c = q^{s+m}$ , the quantity  $h_\infty$  is negative.

The expression for  $h_n$  coincides with that for  $N_n$  in (18), as it should be. This can be easily verified with the help of

$$(q^{m+1}; q)_n = q^{n(n+2m-1)/4} (1-q)^n [m+n]! / [m]!. \quad (21)$$

The facts mentioned above imply that the polynomials  $P_n(x)$  in (18) satisfy the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{-q^{s+1}}^{q^{s+m+1}} P_n(x) P_m(x) w(y) d_q(y) = \delta_{mn}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$w(y) = \frac{1}{2} q^{-(s+m+2)/2} \left( \frac{[s]!}{[2s]!!} \right)^2 \frac{[2s+1]!}{[s-m]! [s+m]!} \frac{(-yq^{-s}; q)_s (yq^{-s-m}; q)_{s+m}}{(-yq^{-m}; q)_m},$$

$y = xq^{(s+m+1)/2}/\rho$ . In more explicit form,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(z_k) P_m(z_k) W(r_k) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(z'_k) P_m(z'_k) W'(r_k) = \delta_{mn}, \quad (23)$$

where  $z_k = \rho q^{k+(s+m+1)/2}$ ,  $z'_k = -q^{-m} z_k$ ,  $r_k = q^{k+s+m+1}$  and  $W(r_k) = (1-q)r_k w(r_k)$ ,  $W'(r_k) = -W(-q^{-m} r_k)$ . Straightforward calculation with (20) and

$$(-q^{m+1}; q)_n = q^{n(n+2m+1)/4} [m]! [2m+2n]!! / [m+n]! [2m]!!$$

leads to

$$W(r_k) = \frac{1}{2} q^{s(s+m+1)/2+k(s+1)} (1-q)^{s+m+1} \left( \frac{[s]!}{[2s]!!} \right)^2 \frac{[2s+1]!}{[s-m]! [s+m]!} \times \frac{[k+s+m]! [k+m]! [2k+2s]!!}{[k]! [k+s]! [2k+2m]!!},$$

and  $W'(r_k) = W(r_k)_{m \rightarrow -m}$ .

Formula (22) demonstrates that the spectrum of the operator  $L_\rho$  on the subspace  $V_{sm}$  is a discrete set of points  $-\rho q^{k+(s-m+1)/2}$  and  $\rho q^{k+(s+m+1)/2}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $0 < q < 1$ , the accumulation point of the spectrum is zero. Joining spectra for all subspaces  $V_{sm}$ , we obtain the spectrum of the operator  $T_{\rho s}(I_3)$  on  $V_s$ .

## 6. Case $q = 1$

Let  $|x, m\rangle$  at  $q = 1$  be an eigenvector of the operator  $L_\rho$  with an eigenvalue  $x$ ,  $L_\rho|x, m\rangle = x|x, m\rangle$  and

$$|x, m\rangle = \sum_{l=s}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{l-s}(x)|x, m\rangle. \quad (24)$$

The formula of action of the operator  $L_\rho$  upon the basis vectors  $(-i)^{-l}|l, m\rangle$  at  $q = 1$  is obtained from (12) by the substitution  $[r] \rightarrow r$  for any  $c$ -number  $r$ . Then, repeating the procedure of the preceding section, we find that the functions  $\tilde{P}_n(x)$  in (23) take the form

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = \left( \frac{(s-m)!(s+m)!n!(n+2s)!(2n+2s+1)}{(2s+1)!(n+s-m)!(n+s+m)!} \right)^{1/2} P_n^{s+m, s-m}(x/\rho), \quad (25)$$

where  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$  is an ordinary Jacobi polynomial. With use of the orthogonality relation for these polynomials (see, e.g., [12]), we obtain

$$\int_{-1}^1 \tilde{P}_n(x) \tilde{P}_m(x) \tilde{w}(y) dy = \delta_{mn}. \quad (26)$$

Here  $y = x/\rho$  and

$$\tilde{w}(y) = 2^{-(2s+1)} \frac{(2s+1)!}{(s-m)!(s+m)!} (1-y)^{s+m} (1+y)^{s-m}.$$

We see that the spectrum of the operator  $L_\rho$  on the subspace  $V_{sm}$  is continuous at  $q = 1$  and consists of points in the interval  $[-\rho, \rho]$ .

Remark that big  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials have the property

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} p_n(x; q^\alpha, q^\beta, -q^\lambda | q) = P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) / P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1).$$

In this limit,  $P_n(x) \rightarrow \tilde{P}_n(x)$  (see formulas (18) and (24)) and  $w(y) \rightarrow \tilde{w}(y)$  (see (21) and (25)). If, in additions, to use the property

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \int_0^a f(t) d_q(t) = \int_0^a f(t) d(t),$$

where  $f$  is a continuous function on  $[0, a]$ , one easily verifies that the orthogonality relation (21) for the polynomials  $P_n(x)$  at  $q \rightarrow 1$  goes to the orthogonality relation (25) for the polynomials  $\tilde{P}_n(x)$ .



## References

- [1] Fairlie D.B., Quantum deformations of  $SU(2)$ , *J. Phys. A*, 1990, V.23, L183-L187.
- [2] Odesskii A.V., An analogue of the Sklyanin algebra, *Funkt. Anal. Appl.*, 1986, V.20, 152-154.
- [3] Drinfeld V.G., Hopf algebras and Yang-Baxter equations, *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, 1985, V.32, 254-258.
- [4] Jimbo M., A  $q$ -difference analogue of  $U(g)$  and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 1985, V.10, 63-69.
- [5] Groza V.A., Kachurik I.I. and Klimyk A.U.,  $q$ -Deformed Euclidean algebras and their representations, *Teoret. Matem. Fiz.*, 1995, V.103, 467-475.
- [6] Gasper G. and Rahman M., Basic Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [7] Vilenkin N.Ja. and Klimyk A.U., Representations of Lie Groups and Special Functions, vol.3: Classical and Quantum Groups and Special Functions, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [8] Berezansky Yu.M., Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Self-Adjoint Operators, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1968.
- [9] Havlíček M., Klimyk A.U. and Pelantova E., Fairlie algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ : tensor products, oscillator realisations, root of unity, *Zeit. Phys. C* (in press).
- [10] Gavrilik A.M. and Klimyk A.U., Representations of the  $q$ -deformed algebras  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2,1})$  and  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{3,1})$ , *J. Math. Phys.*, 1994, V.35, 3670-3686.
- [11] Samoilenko Yu.S. and Turovskaya L.V., Semilinear relations and  $*$ -representations of deformations of  $SO(3)$ , *Rep. Math. Phys.* (in press).
- [12] Nikiforov A.F. and Uvarov V.B., Special Functions of Mathematical Physics, Nauka, Moscow, 1984 (in Russian).