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#### Abstract

Bäcklund transformations are constructed for the noncommutative Burgers hierarchy, generalizing the commutative ones of Weiss, Tabor, Carnevale, and Pickering. These transformations are shown to be invertible and form a group.


## 1 The Burgers Hierarchy and Its Basic Properties

The original Burgers equation on a function $u(x, t)$ has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+u u_{x}=\nu u_{x x}, \quad \nu=\text { const } ; \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the subscripts $t$ and $x$, here and everywhere, denote the corresponding partial derivatives, with respect to the time coordinate $t$ and space coordinate $x$, respectively.

Rescalings of $u, x, t$ allow one to bring the coefficients entering the Burgers equation (1.1) into any desirable form; from now on, we shall be dealing with the following one:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}=2 u u_{x}+u_{x x}=  \tag{1.2a}\\
& =\left(u^{2}+u_{x}\right)_{x} . \tag{1.2b}
\end{align*}
$$

Over the years, various Bäcklund transformations were found for the Burgers equation. Thus, Fokas [2; 8, p. 523] found that if $u$ is a solution of the Burgers equation (1.2) then so is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=u+(\ln u)_{x} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, Weiss, Tabor and Carnevale [9] showed that if $\varphi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t}=2 u \varphi_{x}+\varphi_{x x} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u$ is a solution of the Burgers equation, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=u+(\ln \varphi)_{x} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is again a solution of the Burgers equation. When $\varphi=u$, formula (1.5) yields formula (1.3).

Finally, Pickering [7] generalized formulae $(1.4,5)$ to the whole Burgers hierarchy. The latter was defined by the Choodnovsky brothers [1] as follows. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{t}=v^{(n)}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>\mathbf{0}},  \tag{1.6}\\
& (\cdot)^{(n)}=\partial^{n}(\cdot),  \tag{1.7}\\
& \partial=\partial / \partial x, \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

be the hierarchy of "higher heat equations."
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\partial\left(L_{n}(u)\right), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>\mathbf{0}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(\ln v)_{x} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Burgers hierarchy. Pickering's formula is this: if $u$ satisfies the $n^{\text {th }}$ Burgers equation (1.9) and $\varphi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t}=\frac{D L_{n}}{D u}\left(\varphi_{x}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=u+(\ln \varphi)_{x} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

again satisfies the $n^{\text {th }}$ Burgers equation (1.9); here $\frac{D F}{D u}$ is the Fréchet derivative of $F$.
Two problems have remained open: How to find all solutions of the auxiliary equation (1.11) on $\varphi$ ? Is the Bäcklund transformation (1.12) invertible? Both of these problems are solved below, and in a more general context of the noncommutative Burgers hierarchy.

## 2 The Noncommutative Burgers Hierarchy

The variable $u$ of the Burgers equation (1.2) and the Burgers hierarchy (1.9) is scalar. Over the years, this restriction has been weakened in various directions: first, to allow $u$ be a matrix, by Levy, Ragnisco, and Bruschi [6]; and last, by allowing $u$ to be an element of an arbitrary left-symmetric algebra by Svinolupov [8]. The left-symmetric algebras are, however, nonassociative; as a result, no Bäcklund transformations have been ever found for the Svinolupov-Burgers systems.

We shall deal below with the most general universal associative Burgers systems introduced in [3]; more details can be found in $\S 2.5$ of [4]. The set-up is as follows. Consider all the variables as noncommuting but associative. We start off the heat picture, with the $n^{\text {th }}$ flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(v)=\frac{\partial v}{\partial t_{n}}=v^{(n)}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>\mathbf{0}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{n}$ is the evolutionary derivation of the differential ring

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{v}=\mathbf{C}\left[v, v^{-1} ; v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \ldots\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now set

$$
\begin{align*}
& v^{(1)}=v u  \tag{2.3}\\
& u=v^{-1} v^{(1)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{(n)}=v Q_{n}(u) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(u)=\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}(1) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{L}_{f}$ and $\hat{R}_{f}$ are the operators of left and right multiplication by $f$, respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}_{f}(a)=f a, \quad \hat{R}_{f}(a)=a f, \quad \forall a, f \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (2.6) follows from the following calculation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v Q_{n+1}=v^{(n+1)}=\partial\left(v^{(n)}\right)=\partial\left(v Q_{n}\right)=v^{(1)} Q_{n}+v Q_{n}^{(1)}= \\
& =v u Q_{n}+v Q_{n}^{(1)}=v\left(Q_{n}^{(1)}+u Q_{n}\right) \Rightarrow  \tag{2.8}\\
& Q_{n+1}=\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)\left(Q_{n}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivations $X_{n}$ 's (2.1) obviously commute in the ring $C_{v}(2.2)$. Therefore, they will still commute in any differential subring of $C_{v}$, such as $C_{u}$, and we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{n}(u)=X_{n}\left(v^{-1} v^{(1)}\right)=X_{n}\left(v^{-1}\right) v^{(1)}+v^{-1} X_{n}\left(v^{(1)}\right)= \\
& =-v^{-1} X_{n}(v) v^{-1} v^{(1)}+v^{-1}\left(X_{n}(v)\right)^{(1)}=-v^{-1} v^{(n)} u+v^{-1} v^{(n+1)}= \\
& =-Q_{n} u+Q_{n+1}[\operatorname{by}(2.9)]=Q_{n}^{(1)}+u Q_{n}-Q_{n} u:  \tag{2.10}\\
& X_{n}(u)=\left(\partial+a d_{u}\right)\left(Q_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>\mathbf{0}} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This is our noncommutative Burgers hierarchy. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}=1, \quad Q_{1}=u, \quad Q_{2}=u^{(1)}+u^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=2$ we find from formula (2.11) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{2}(u)=\left(\partial+a d_{u}\right)\left(Q_{2}\right)=u^{(2)}+u^{(1)} u+u u^{(1)}+u u^{(1)}+u^{3}- \\
& -u^{(1)} u-u^{3}=u^{(2)}+2 u u^{(1)}:  \tag{2.13}\\
& u_{t}=u_{x x}+2 u u_{x} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

is the noncommutative Burgers equation, with $u$ and $u_{x}$ no longer commuting. Had we started with $u$ being defined not as $v^{-1} v^{(1)}$ but as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=v^{(1)} v^{-1} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead, equation (2.14) would have been

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=u_{x x}+2 u_{x} u, \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

etc: all formulae being mirror-inverted.

## 3 Powers Of The Operator $\partial+\hat{\boldsymbol{L}}_{u}$

In order to write down the noncommutative version of the evolution equation on $\varphi,(1.11)$, we need first to establish a few useful formulae.

## Proposition 3.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \hat{L}_{Q_{n-k}} \partial^{k}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Formula (3.2) is obviously true for $n=0,1$. Induction on $n$ then finishes the job.

## Proposition 3.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}\left(Q_{k}\right)=\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{k}-\hat{R}_{Q_{k}}\right)\left(Q_{n}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $k=0$ formula (3.4) is obviously true, and for $k=1$ it becomes equation (2.11). Inducting on $k$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n}\left(Q_{k+1}\right)=X_{n}\left(Q_{k}^{(1)}+u Q_{k}\right)=\partial\left(X_{n}\left(Q_{k}\right)\right)+u X_{n}\left(Q_{k}\right)+ \\
& +X_{n}(u) Q_{k}=\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)\left(X_{n}\left(Q_{k}\right)\right)+\hat{R}_{Q_{k}}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}-\hat{R}_{u}\right)\left(Q_{n}\right)= \\
& =\left\{\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{k}-\hat{R}_{Q_{k}}\right)+\hat{R}_{Q_{k}} \partial+\hat{R}_{Q_{k}} \hat{L}_{u}-\hat{R}_{u} Q_{k}\right\}\left(Q_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that we need to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right) \hat{R}_{Q_{k}}+\hat{R}_{Q_{k}} \partial+\hat{R}_{Q_{k}} \hat{L}_{u}-\hat{R}_{u Q_{k}}=-\hat{R}_{Q_{k+1}}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which amounts to

$$
-Q_{k}^{(1)}-u Q_{k}=-Q_{k+1},
$$

and this is equation (2.9).

## Corollary 3.6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}\left(Q_{k}\right)=\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}-\hat{L}_{Q_{n}}\right)\left(Q_{k}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By formulae (3.4) and (2.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n}\left(Q_{k}\right)=\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{k}-\hat{R}_{Q_{k}}\right)\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}(1)= \\
& =\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{k}(1)-Q_{n} Q_{k}=\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}-\hat{L}_{Q_{n}}\right)\left(Q_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Symmetries

If $v$ satisfies the $n^{t h}$ heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(v)=v_{t}=v^{(n)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then so does

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}=v^{(k)}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}=\tilde{v}^{-1} \tilde{v}^{(1)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the $n^{\text {th }}$ Burgers flow (2.11):

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(u)=\left(\partial+a d_{u}\right)\left(Q_{n}(u)\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{u}=\tilde{v}^{-1} \tilde{v}^{(1)}=v^{(k)-1} v^{(k+1)}=\left(v Q_{k}(u)\right)^{-1} v Q_{k+1}(u)= \\
& =Q_{k}(u)^{-1} Q_{k+1}(u)=Q_{k}(u)^{-1}\left(Q_{k}(u)^{(1)}+u Q_{k}(u)\right): \\
& \tilde{u}=Q_{k}^{-1} Q_{k}^{(1)}+Q_{k}^{(-1)} u Q_{k} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By formula (3.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}\left(Q_{k}\right)=\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}-\widehat{L}_{Q_{n}}\right)\left(Q_{k}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $k$ above is arbitrary. We therefore shall be not too reckless to assume

Theorem 4.7. If $u$ satisfies the $n^{\text {th }}$ Burger equation (4.4) and $\varphi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(\varphi)=\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}-\hat{L}_{Q_{n}(u)}\right)(\varphi), \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

again satisfies the $n^{\text {th }}$ Burgers flow (4.4).
Proof. The idea is this: we imagine that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=\bar{v}^{-1} \bar{v}^{(1)} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(\bar{v})=\bar{v}^{(n)} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\bar{v}$ satisfies the $n^{\text {th }}$ heat flow, and therefore $\bar{u}$ satisfies the $n^{\text {th }}$ Burgers flow.
Now for the details. Given the differential ring $C_{u}$, we enlarge it by a new variable $v$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{u, v}=\mathbf{C}\left[u, u^{(1)}, \ldots ; v, v^{-1}\right] . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We make $C_{u, v}$ into a differential ring by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial(v)=v u, \quad \partial\left(v^{-1}\right)=-u v^{-1} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then extend the evolutionary (i.e., commuting with $\partial$ ) derivation $X_{n}$ of $C_{u}$ onto $C_{u, v}$, by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}(v)=\partial^{n}(v)=v Q_{n}(u) . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation (2.10) shows that this extension of $X_{n}$ is self-consistent
We can do the same extensions starting with another variable $\bar{u}$, even though we don't know yet but suspect that $X_{n}(\bar{u})$ satisfies the $n^{\text {th }}$ Burgers equation (4.4).

But if our suspicion were correct, then formula (4.9) could be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{v}^{-1} \bar{v}_{x}=\bar{u}=\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi=\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi_{x}+v^{-1} v_{x} \varphi\right)= \\
& =\varphi^{-1} v^{-1}\left(v \varphi_{x}+v_{x} \varphi\right)=(v \varphi)^{-1}(v \varphi)_{x} . \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}=C v \varphi, \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a "constant" : $\partial(C)=0 ; C$, therefore, can be absorbed into $v$ without affecting $u$. Hence, the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{v} & =v \varphi  \tag{4.17}\\
\varphi & =v^{-1} \bar{v} \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

from the essence of the symmetry formula (4.9). To make this statement precise, we use formula (3.2) and calculate:

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{n}(v \varphi)=X_{n}(v) \varphi+v X_{n}(\varphi)=v^{(n)} \varphi+v\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}-\hat{L}_{Q_{n}}\right)(\varphi)= \\
& =v^{(n)} \varphi+v \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k} Q_{n-k} \varphi^{(k)}=v^{(n)} \varphi+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k} v^{(n-k)} \varphi^{(k)}= \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} v^{(n-k)} \varphi^{(k)}=(v \varphi)^{(n)}=\bar{v}^{(n)} . \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, if

$$
X_{n}(\bar{v})=\bar{v}^{(n)}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{n}(\varphi)=X_{n}\left(v^{-1} \bar{v}\right)=-v^{-1} v^{(n)} v^{-1} \bar{v}+v^{-1} \bar{v}^{(n)}= \\
& =-Q_{n}(u) \varphi+v^{-1} \partial^{n}(v \varphi)=-Q_{n} \varphi+\left(v^{-1} \partial v\right)^{n}(\varphi)= \\
& =\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}-\hat{L}_{Q_{n}}\right)(\varphi), \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

because

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{-1} \partial v=\partial+v^{-1} v^{(1)}=\partial+u \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

All our claims have been now verified. In addition, formula (4.18) shows that every solution of the $\varphi$ equation (4.8) is the noncommutative "ratio" of two arbitrary solutions of the $n^{\text {th }}$ heat equation.

Remark 4.22 The symmetry formula $\bar{u}=\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi$ - like all known formulae about the Burgers equation outside of Svinolupov's work - is misleading in its simplicity. The true nature of the Burgers equation - that it is a natural part of various finiteand infinite-component systems - has yet to be recognized. I leave this task for another occasion, and restrict myself here to a simple illustration.

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}=u_{x x}+2 u u_{x}  \tag{4.23a}\\
& a_{t}=a_{x x}+2 a u_{x} \tag{4.23b}
\end{align*}
$$

be a noncommuntative version of the dark Burgers extension (10.47) $\left.\right|_{\rho=0}$ from [5]. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{t}=\varphi_{x x}+2 u \varphi_{x}  \tag{4.24a}\\
& \psi_{t}=\psi_{x x}+2 a \varphi_{x} \tag{4.24b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the pair

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u}=\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi  \tag{4.25a}\\
& \bar{a}=a \varphi+\psi_{x}-\psi \varphi^{-1}\left(u \varphi+\varphi_{x}\right) \tag{4.25b}
\end{align*}
$$

again satisfies the two-component system (4.23).

## 5 The Bäcklund Transformation Is An Automorphism

The Bäcklund transformation (4.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invertible: it can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\varphi\left(\bar{u}-\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}\right) \varphi^{-1}=-\varphi_{x} \varphi^{-1}+\varphi \bar{u} \varphi^{-1} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\varphi_{x} \varphi^{-1}=\varphi\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)_{x} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same conclusion follows directly from formula (4.18): if $\varphi=v^{-1} \bar{v}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{-1}=\bar{v}^{-1} v \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The direct form of this fact is far from being obvious: if $u$ satisfies the $n^{t h}$ Burgers equation (4.4) and $\varphi$ satisfies equation (4.8), then $\varphi^{-1}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)=\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{\bar{u}}\right)^{n}-\hat{L}_{Q_{n}(\bar{u})}\right)\left(\varphi^{-1}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, formula (4.18) implies that the automorphisms (5.1) form a group. Indeed, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\bar{v}^{-1} \quad \overline{\bar{v}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\bar{u}}=w^{-1} w_{x}+w^{-1} \bar{u} w . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\bar{u}}=w^{-1} w_{x}+w^{-1}\left(\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi\right) w= \\
& =w^{-1} w_{x}+w^{-1} \varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x} w+(\varphi w)^{-1} u(\varphi w)= \\
& =(\varphi w)^{-1}(\varphi w)_{x}+(\varphi w)^{-1} u(\varphi w) . \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the composition map

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \mapsto \bar{u} \longmapsto \overline{\bar{u}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is effected by the cumulative parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi w=\left(v^{-1} \bar{v}\right)\left(\bar{v}^{-1} \overline{\bar{v}}\right)=v^{-1} \overline{\bar{v}} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 Intrinsic Proof

The symmetry formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u}=\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi,  \tag{6.1}\\
& X_{n}(u)=\left(\partial+a d_{u}\right)\left(Q_{n}(u)\right),  \tag{6.2}\\
& X_{n}(\varphi)=\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}-\hat{L}_{Q_{n}(u)}\right)(\varphi),  \tag{6.3}\\
& X_{n}(\bar{u})=\left(\partial+a d_{\bar{u}}\right)\left(Q_{n}(\bar{u})\right), \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

make no reference to the extrinsic heat flows; one therefore ought to be able to deduce formula (6.4) directly from formulae (6.1-3). Such a derivation follows. Denote $X_{n}(\varphi)$ by $\dot{\varphi}$, and $X_{n}(u)$ by $\dot{u}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{n}(\bar{u})=X_{n}\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi_{x}+u \varphi\right)\right)=-\varphi^{-1} \dot{\varphi} \varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi_{x}+u \varphi\right)+ \\
& +\varphi^{-1}\left(\dot{\varphi}^{(1)}+u \dot{\varphi}+\dot{u} \varphi\right)= \\
& =\varphi^{-1}\left\{-\dot{\varphi}\left(\varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi\right)+\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)(\dot{\varphi})+\dot{u} \varphi\right\} \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proposition 6.6

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}\left(\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi\right)=\varphi^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}(\varphi) . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial+\hat{L}_{\bar{u}}=\partial+\hat{L}_{\varphi^{-1} \varphi^{(1)}}+\hat{L}_{\varphi^{-1} u \varphi}=\hat{L}_{\varphi-1}\left(\hat{L}_{\varphi} \partial+\hat{L}_{\varphi^{(1)}}+\right. \\
& \left.+\hat{L}_{u \varphi}\right)=\hat{L}_{\varphi}^{-1}\left(\partial \hat{L}_{\varphi}+\hat{L}_{u} \hat{L}_{\varphi}\right)=\hat{L}_{\varphi}^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right) \hat{L}_{\varphi} . \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{\bar{u}}\right)^{n}=\hat{L}_{\varphi}^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n} \hat{L}_{\varphi}, \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and formula (6.7) follows.

Thus, the RHS of formula (6.4) is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\partial+a d_{\bar{u}}\right)\left(Q_{n}(\bar{u})\right)= \\
& =\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{\bar{u}}-\hat{R}_{\bar{u}}\right)\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}\right)(\varphi)= \\
& =\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right) \varphi-\hat{R}_{\varphi^{-1}} \varphi^{(1)}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi\right)\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}(\varphi)\right)= \\
& =\varphi^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n+1}(\varphi)-\varphi^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}(\varphi) \cdot \varphi^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)(\varphi) . \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Formula (6.4) therefore becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\dot{\varphi}\left(\varphi^{-1} \varphi_{x}+\varphi^{-1} u \varphi\right)+\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)(\dot{\varphi})+\dot{u} \varphi \stackrel{?}{=}  \tag{6.11a}\\
& \stackrel{?}{=}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n+1}(\varphi)-\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}(\varphi) \cdot \varphi^{-1}\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)(\varphi) \tag{6.11b}
\end{align*}
$$

By formulae $(6.2,3)$, the LHS of this identity is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}(\varphi)-Q_{n} \varphi\right) \varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi_{x}+u \varphi\right)+ \\
& +\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)\left(\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)^{n}(\varphi)-Q_{n} \varphi\right)+\left(Q_{n}^{(1)}+u Q_{n}-Q_{n} u\right) \varphi \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Canceling the like-terms, formula (6.11) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n} \varphi_{x}-\left(\partial+\hat{L}_{u}\right)\left(Q_{n} \varphi\right)+\left(Q_{n}^{(1)}+u Q_{n}\right) \varphi \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n} \varphi_{x}-\left(Q_{n}^{(1)} \varphi+Q_{n} \varphi_{x}\right)-u Q_{n} \varphi+\left(Q_{n}^{(1)}+u Q_{n}\right) \varphi \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is obviously true.
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