A Global Optimization Algorithm for Sum of Quadratic Ratios Problem with Coefficients
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Abstract—In this paper a global optimization algorithm for solving sum of quadratic ratios problem with coefficients and nonconvex quadratic function constraints (\( NSP \)) is proposed. First, the problem \( NSP \) is converted into an equivalent sum of linear ratios problem with nonconvex quadratic constraints (\( LSP \)). Using linearization technique, the linearization relaxation of \( LSP \) is obtained. The whole problem is then solvable using the branch and bound method. In the algorithm, lower bounds are derived by solving a sequence of linear lower bounding functions for the objective function and the constraint functions of the problem \( NSP \) over the feasible region. The proposed algorithm is convergent to the global minimum through the successive refinement of the solutions of a series of linear programming problems. The numerical examples demonstrate that the proposed algorithm can easily be applied to solve problem \( NSP \).
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I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the global optimization of the sum of quadratic ratios problem with coefficients and nonconvex quadratic function constraints of the following form,

\[
\begin{align*}
\min f(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} f_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{n_j(x)}{d_j(x)} \\
\text{NSP}(X) : \quad &\text{s.t. } g_m(x) \leq 0, \quad m = 1, \ldots, M \\
&\quad X = \{x : x_1 \leq x_\pi, n = 1, \ldots, M\}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
n_j(x) &= c_j + b_j^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T A_j x \\
d_j(x) &= r_j + e_j^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T D_j x \\
g_m(x) &= h_m + w_m^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T G_m x
\end{align*}
\]

and \( c_j, r_j \) and \( h_m \) are all arbitrary real number, \( b_j, e_j, w_m \in \mathbb{R}^N \), and \( A_j, D_j, G_m \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \) are symmetric not positive semidefinite matrixes, \( j = 1, \ldots, p \), \( m = 1, \ldots, M \). So the constraint set is nonconvex. \( v_j \) are real constant coefficients, \( j = 1, \ldots, p \).

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new global algorithm to solve the problem \( NSP \). The algorithm works by solving a sum of linear ratios problem with coefficients and nonconvex constraints \( LSP \) that is equivalent to problem \( NSP \). The main feature of this algorithm is summarized as follows. Firstly, at each iteration a linear programming problem is solved and the optimal objective value of this linear programming problem provides a lower bound on the optimal objective value of the original fractional programming problem \( NSP \). Then the proposed linear programming method for problem \( NSP \) is more convenient in the computation than the parametric programming (or concave minimization) methods of [1], thus any effective linear programming algorithm can be used to solve this nonlinear programming problem \( NSP \). Secondly, the given method can solve general \( NSP \) problem, but sum of linear ratios problem [2] and other methods reviewed above (see Refs. [1], for example) can only treat special cases of problem \( NSP \). Our method is also different form Qu's method [3], since their method is based on Lagrangian relaxation. Thirdly, the main computation involves solving a sequence of linear programming problems, for which standard simplex algorithm are available. Finally, numerical computation shows that the proposed method is superior to the method in [3].

II. LINEAR RELAXATION

Assumption 1 Without loss of generality, let \( v_j > 0 \) \( (j = 1, \ldots, T) \), \( v_j < 0 \) \( (j = T+1, \ldots, p) \). For each \( j = 1, \ldots, p \), it holds that there exist positive scalars \( l_j, u_j, L_j \) and \( U_j \) satisfy \( 0 < l_j \leq n_j(x) \leq L_j \) and \( 0 < u_j \leq d_j(x) \leq U_j \) for all \( x \) belonging to the feasible region.
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Introducing positive variables \( f_j \) and \( s_j \), and setting \( t_j = n_j(x) \) and \( s_j = d_j(x) \), the problem \( \text{NSP} \) then leads to the following equivalent problem \( \text{LSP} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\min & \quad f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{t_j}{s_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \frac{1}{s_j} \\
\text{st.} & \quad t_j - n_j(x) \leq 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, T, \\
& \quad -t_j + n_j(x) \leq 0, \quad j = T + 1, \ldots, p, \\
& \quad d_j(x) - s_j \leq 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, T, \\
& \quad -d_j(x) + s_j \leq 0, \quad j = T + 1, \ldots, p, \\
& \quad g_j(x) \leq 0, \quad m = 1, \ldots, M, \\
& \quad X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^p : 0 < t_j < T, 0 < u_j, s_j, y \leq U \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Given any \( Y = [y, \mathcal{U}] \subset Y^0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2p+N} \), for any convex function \( \mathcal{Q}(y) \) defined in \( Y \) which is at least subdifferential, we have the following property: For any \( z \in Y \), the subdifferential set \( \partial \mathcal{Q}(z) \) of \( \mathcal{Q}(y) \) at \( z \) is,

\[ \partial \mathcal{Q}(z) = \{ v : \mathcal{Q}(y) \geq \mathcal{Q}(z) + v, y - z \} \]

Theorem 1 For any \( z \in Y \), we have \( \mathcal{Q}(y) \geq \mathcal{Q}(z) + v, y - z \), where \( v \in \partial \mathcal{Q}(z) \).

All the details of the linearization technique for generating relaxations will be given in the following theorem.

Theorem 4 Given any \( Y = [y, \mathcal{U}] \subset Y^0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2p+N} \), \( z = (z_k)_{k=1}^{2p+N} \in Y \) and \( y = (y_k)_{k=1}^{2p+N} \in Y \), the following notations are introduced:

\[
\begin{align*}
L_f(y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2p+N} \left[ \min \left( \frac{1}{u \cdot u_i}, \frac{1}{u \cdot u_i} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{2p+N} \left[ \min \left( \frac{1}{s \cdot s_i}, \frac{1}{s \cdot s_i} \right) \right], \\
U_f(y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2p+N} \left[ \max \left( \frac{1}{u \cdot u_i}, \frac{1}{u \cdot u_i} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{2p+N} \left[ \max \left( \frac{1}{s \cdot s_i}, \frac{1}{s \cdot s_i} \right) \right], \\
L_f(y, z) &= L_f^0(y, z) - \frac{\lambda_0}{2} U_f^0(y) \\
U_f(y, z) &= U_f^0(y, z) + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} U_f^0(y), \\
L_f^0(y, z) &= L_f(y, z) + \lambda_0 \| y - z \|^2 \\
U_f^0(y, z) &= U_f(y, z) + \lambda_0 \| y - z \|^2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \lambda_0 \) is defined as (1) such that \( \lambda_0 I + H_m \) is positive definite, \( z, \mathcal{S} \in Y \) are fixed vectors and functions \( L^0(y, z) \) and \( U^0(y, z) \) have the argument \( y \) and depend on parameters \( z \) and \( \mathcal{S} \), respectively.

Theorem 2 Given \( z, \mathcal{S} \in Y \subset Y^0 \), consider the functions \( f(y), L_f(y), U_f(y) \), \( f_m(y), L_m(y), U_m(y), \mathcal{S} \) for any \( y \in Y \), where \( m = 1, \ldots, 2p + M \). Then the following two statements are valid.

(i) The functions \( L_f(y), U_f(y) \), and \( L_m(y, z) \) are all linear functions about \( y \) and \( U_f(y, z) \) is a convex function about \( y \). Moreover the functions \( f(y), L_f(y), U_f(y) \), \( f_m(y), L_m(y, z), U_m(y, z) \) and \( U_f(y, z) \) satisfy:

\[
\begin{align*}
L_f(y) &\leq f(y) \leq U_f(y) \quad (2) \\
L_f(y, z) &\leq f_m(y) \leq U_f(y, z) \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

(ii) The maximal errors of bounding \( f(y) \) using \( U_f(y) \) and \( U_f(y, z) \), and bounding \( f_m(y) \) using \( L_f(y) \), and \( U_f(y, z) \) \( m = 1, \ldots, 2p + M \) satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{n \to \infty} L_f^0(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} U_f^0(y) = 0 \\
\lim_{n \to \infty} L_f^0(y, z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} U_f^0(y, z) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Next by means of Theorem 4, we can give the linear relaxation of the problem \( LSP \). Let \( Y^k = [y^k, z^k] \subset Y^0 \), consequently we construct the corresponding approximation relaxation linear programming \( LP(Y^k) \) of problem \( LSP \) in \( Y^k \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LP}(Y^k) : \quad & \min \quad L_f(y) \\
\text{st.} & \quad L_f(y, z^k) \leq 0, m = 1, \ldots, 2p + M, \\
& \quad y \in Y^k
\end{align*}
\]

where \( z^k = (z_k)_{k=1}^{2p+N} \in Y^k \) is one constant vector, in our computation we choose \( z^k = \frac{y^k + y^0}{2} \).

Theorem 3 The linear programming \( LP(Y^k) \) provides the lower bound of the optimal value of the problem \( QPP \) over the rectangle \( Y^k \).

III. THE ALGORITHM AND GLOBAL CONVERGENCE

ALGORITHM LBBF

Step 0: Initialization

0.1: Give a sufficient small positive number \( \varepsilon \) and set \( k = 0 \), \( \mathcal{R}_k = \{1\} \), \( q(k) = 1 \), \( Y^{(k)} = Y^0 = Y^0 \). Set an initial upper bound \( \mathcal{O}^* = \infty \).

0.2: Solving the \( LP(Y^{(k)}) \), denote the optimal solution and minimum value \( (y^{(k)}, LBV^{(k)}) \).
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then $\Theta = f_0(y^{q(k)})$. Set the initial lower bound $LBV(k) = LBV_{q(k)}$ and the initial feasible point $y^k = y^{q(k)}$.

0.3: If $\Theta - LBV(k) \leq \varepsilon$, then stop with $y^{q(k)}$ as the approximal global solution to problem LSP.

Step 1: (Partitioning step) According to the branching rule stated in 3.1, we choose a branching variable $y^i$ to partition $y^{q(k)}$ into two subrectangles $Y^{q(k,1)}$ and $Y^{q(k,2)}$. Replace $q(k)$ by these two new node indices $(k,1)$ and $(k,2)$ in $R_k$.

Step 2: (Feasibility check) For each new node indices $(k,s)$ where $s = 1,2$, the corresponding rectangle $Y^{q(k,s)}$, compute the lower bounds $L_f = \min_{y \in Y^{q(k,s)}} L_f(y)$ and $L_f = \min_{y \in Y^{q(k,s)}} L_f(y,z^k)$ of $L_f(y)$ and $L_f(y,z^k)$ over the rectangle $Y^{q(k,s)}$ respectively where $m = 1,\ldots,2p+M, s = 1,2$. If there exists $m = 0,1,\ldots,2p+M$ such that one of the lower bounds satisfies $L_f > LBV(k)$ or $L_f > 0$, for some $m = 1,\ldots,2p+M$, then the corresponding node indices $q(k,s)$ will be removed. If $q(k,s), s = 1,2$ have all been removed then return to Step 4.

Step 3: (Updating upper bound and deleting step) For the remaining subrectangle update the corresponding parameters. Solve the programming $LP(Y^{q(k,s)})$, where $s = 1$ or $s = 2$ or $s = 1,2$, and denote the optimal solutions and optimal values $(y^{q(k,s)}, LBV_{q(k,s)})$. Then if possible update the best available upper bound $\Theta = \min\{\Theta, f_0(y^{q(k,s)})\}$. If $LBV_{q(k,s)} > \Theta$, then remove the corresponding node.

Step 4: (Convergence test) Fathom any nonimproving nodes by setting $R_{k+1} = R_k - \{q \in R_k : LBV_q > \Theta - \varepsilon\}$. If $R_{k+1} = \emptyset$, then terminate with $\Theta$ as the optimal value and $y^*(\tau)$ (where $\tau \in \Omega$) as the global solution, where $\Omega = \{\tau : f_0(y^*(\tau)) = \Theta\}$. Otherwise, $k = k + 1$.

Step 5: Set the lower bound $LBV(k) = \min\{LBV_q : q \in R_k\}$, then select an active node $q(k) = \arg\min\{LBV_q : q \in R_k\}$, let $y^k = y^{q(k)}$ and go to step 1.

Theorem 4 (convergence of algorithm LBBF)
(i) If the above algorithm terminates finitely at iteration $k$, then $y^k$ is the global optimal solution to problem LSP;
(ii) Otherwise the algorithm generates an infinite sequence of iteration such that along any infinite branch of the branch and bound tree, any accumulation point of the sequence $\{y^k\}$ will be the global optimal solution of the problem LSP, and $\Theta$ is nonincreasing while $LBV(k)$ is nondecreasing, moreover they satisfy $\lim_{k \to \infty} \Theta = \lim_{k \to \infty} LBV(k) = f^*$ where $f^*$ stands for the optimal value of problem LSP.
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