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#### Abstract

It is shown how pseudoconstants of the Liouville-type equations can be exploited as a tool for construction of the Bäcklund transformations. Several new examples of such transformations are found. In particular we obtained the Bäcklund transformations for a pair of three-component analogs of the dispersive water wave system, and autoBäcklund transformations for coupled three-component KdV-type systems.


## 1 Introduction

Since the discovery of integrability of the KdV equation several methods for classifying equations bearing the same property have been developed. The most well-known and fruitful of them are Painlevé test [16] and symmetry approach [12]. The common feature of these methods is that they provide only with necessary conditions of the integrability, though it appears that equations having passed these tests are integrable. This commonly can be proved by constructing the Lax representations or the Bäcklund transformations. One should mention that these two fundamental objects of soliton theory may be derived via truncation of Painlevé expansions, however, the calculations involved can be very tedious.

The method presented in this paper can be successfully applied to constructing the Bäcklund transformation when the equation under consideration has a pair of hyperbolic Liouville-type equations $[10,19]$ as negative commuting flows. The well known example is given by the hierarchy of sinh-Gordon-mKdV equations, used below to clarify the idea of the method. The method relies on the connection between the Miura and Bäcklund transformations on one hand, and the Miura transformations and the Liouville-type equations on the other. Thus the proposed method will complement existing approaches (see e.g. $[13,14,17,2,9,11])$.

The paper is organized as follows. Below we rederive two well-known results due to Wahlquist, Estabrook [16], and Fordy [8] concerned the Bäcklund transformations for the KdV, Sawada-Kotera, and Kupershimidt equations. In section 2 we construct the auto-Bäcklund transformations for several coupled KdV-type systems recently presented in [7].

The auto-Bäcklund transformation for the KdV equation in the potential form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\tau}=\varphi_{x x x}+\frac{3}{2} \varphi_{x}^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by the relation [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varphi}_{x}+\varphi_{x}=-\frac{1}{4}(\varphi-\hat{\varphi})^{2}+2 \lambda \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\varphi, \hat{\varphi}$ are solutions of equation (1.1), and $\lambda$ is an arbitrary parameter usually called "Bäcklund parameter". Equation (1.1) is related to the potential mKdV equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\tau}=u_{x x x}-\frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{3} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by any of the Miura transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\int \rho d x, \quad \hat{\varphi}=-\int \hat{\rho} d x \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=u_{x x}-\frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{2}, \quad \hat{\rho}=u_{x x}+\frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Excluding variables $u_{x}, u_{x x}$ from (1.4) we obtain the auto-Bäcklund transformation for (1.1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varphi}_{x}+\varphi_{x}=-\frac{1}{4}(\varphi-\hat{\varphi})^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Bäcklund parameter can be introduced into relation (1.6) by applying the transformation $\varphi(x, \tau) \rightarrow x \lambda+\varphi(x+3 \lambda \tau, \tau)+3 / 2 \tau \lambda^{2}$ corresponding to the classical symmetry $\varphi_{\lambda}=$ $x+3 \tau \varphi_{x}$ admitted by equation (1.1).

The crucial point here is the way we get a couple of different Miura transformations relating equations (1.1) and (1.3). First, we note that evolution equation (1.3) is the first higher symmetry (commuting flow) of the sinh-Gordon equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x t}=a e^{u}+b e^{-u}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ are arbitrary constants. Setting $b=0$ or $a=0$ we obtain the following couple of Liouville equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x t}=a e^{u}, \quad u_{x t}=b e^{-u} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which functions (1.5) appear to be the simplest pseudoconstants, i.e. on solutions of corresponding equation (1.8) they satisfy the characteristic equation

$$
\rho_{t}=0
$$

On the other hand a pseudoconstant of a Liouville-type equation determines a Miura transformation (see e.g. $[15,19]$ ) for its higher symmetries.

As it is evident from this example one need not make any assumptions on the order or the structure of the transformation to be found. Applying this method we usually go in opposite direction: we start from an integrable hyperbolic equation, for example (1.7), find all its degenerate counterparts - the Liouville type equations, and then find hierarchies of evolution equations related by theirs pseudoconstants. On the last step we construct (auto-)Bäcklund transformation excluding variables $u_{i}$. It is important for applicability of the method to have a couple of the Liouville-type equations generated by initial hyperbolic equation.

Of course considered example is not a single case where the suggested procedure works. However, for some equations we get Bäcklund-type transformations without a parameter. One of such examples is related to Tzitzeica equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x t}=a e^{u}+b e^{-2 u} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its first higher symmetry (commuting flow) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\tau}=u_{x x x x x}+5\left(u_{x x x} u_{x x}-u_{x x x} u_{x}^{2}-u_{x} u_{x x}^{2}\right)+u_{x}^{5} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $b=0$, and $a=0$ in (1.9) we obtain the couple of Liouville equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x t}=a e^{u}, \quad u_{x t}=b e^{-2 u} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

having the following pseudoconstants correspondingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=u_{x x}-\frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{2}, \quad \hat{\omega}=u_{x x}+u_{x}^{2} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the solutions of equation (1.10) functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\int \omega d x, \quad \hat{\varphi}=\int \hat{\omega} d x \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfy the Kaup-Kupershmidt and Sawada-Kotera equations in potential form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{\tau}=\varphi_{x x x x x}+10 \varphi_{x x x} \varphi_{x}+\frac{15}{2} \varphi_{x x}^{2}+\frac{20}{3} \varphi_{x}^{3}  \tag{1.14}\\
& \hat{\varphi}_{\tau}=\hat{\varphi}_{x x x x x}+5 \hat{\varphi}_{x x x} \hat{\varphi}_{x}+\frac{5}{3} \hat{\varphi}_{x}^{3} . \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Excluding variables $u_{x}, u_{x x}$ from (1.13) we obtain the Bäcklund transformation for solutions of (1.14) and (1.15) in the form [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{x}+\hat{\varphi}_{x}=-\frac{2}{3}(\varphi-\hat{\varphi} / 2)^{2} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must finally state that a Bäcklund parameter cannot be introduced into transformation (1.16), and by this reason in the sequel we restrict ourselves to sinh-Gordon like equations.

## 2 New examples

In this section we consider Lagrangian systems $\delta L / \delta u^{i}=0$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{i, j} g_{i j}(u) u_{x}^{i} u_{t}^{j}+f(u) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{i j}$ is the metric tensor of the configurational space with the coordinates $u^{i}$. Systems with Lagrangian of the form (2.1) are usually called $\sigma$-models. They play an important role in quantum field theory and in the theory of magnetics. In paper [4] (see also [5, 6, 7]) a classification of Lagrangians (2.1) in the three-dimensional reducible Riemann space such that corresponding field systems admit higher polynomial symmetries is given. Below we consider the subclass of systems with

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=u_{x} u_{t} / 2+\psi v_{x} w_{t}+a v^{k} e^{\lambda u}+b w^{k} e^{-\lambda u} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi=1 /(v w+c)$, and $c, \lambda, k, a, b=$ const. It is assumed that $c \neq 0$, otherwise the configurational space is flat, and the problem reduces to the well-investigated case $L=\sum \delta_{i j} u_{x}^{i} u_{t}^{j}+f(u)$, where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker's symbol. The choice of subclass (2.2) is motivated by the fact that in this case the suggested procedure leads to a derivation of the auto-Bäcklund transformation with the Bäcklund parameter. There are three integrable cases in (2.2):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda=\sqrt{2}, \quad k=1,  \tag{2.3}\\
\lambda=k=1  \tag{2.4}\\
\lambda=k=2 . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that the sinh-Gordon-mKdV hierarchy is a reduction of hierarchies considered here for $v=$ const, $w=$ const. It is shown in [7] that hyperbolic systems corresponding to (2.3)-(2.5) under condition $a=0$ or $b=0$ are of the Liouville-type. Corresponding sets of pseudoconstants are given ibidem. Using the method presented in the introduction it is easy to compute the Bäcklund transformations for all these cases. Below we give a detailed derivation of the Bäcklund transformation for case (2.3). Calculations for cases $(2.4),(2.5)$ are similar, but slightly more complicated, and we omit them presenting the final result only.
Case (2.3). Hyperbolic system corresponding to (2.3) and its simplest higher symmetry are

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{x t}=\sqrt{2}\left(a v e^{\sqrt{2} u}-b w e^{-\sqrt{2} u}\right), \\
& v_{x t}=b e^{-\sqrt{2} u} \psi^{-1}+\psi v_{x} v_{t} w, \quad w_{x t}=a e^{\sqrt{2} u} \psi^{-1}+w_{x} w_{t} v \psi,  \tag{2.6}\\
& u_{\tau}=\sqrt{2} \psi v_{x} w_{x}, \quad v_{\tau}=v_{x x}-2 v \psi v_{x} w_{x}+\sqrt{2} u_{x} v_{x}  \tag{2.7}\\
& w_{\tau}=-w_{x x}+2 w \psi v_{x} w_{x}+\sqrt{2} u_{x} w_{x} .
\end{align*}
$$

If $b=0$, then the complete set of pseudoconstants of (2.6) is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\rho=\left(\sqrt{2} u_{x x}-u_{x}^{2}-2 v_{x} w_{x} \psi\right) / 6 \\
\theta=v_{x x} v_{x}^{-1}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} u_{x}-\psi w_{x} v \\
\varphi=\psi v_{x}\left(w_{x x}-v_{x} w_{x} \psi w-\sqrt{2} u_{x} w_{x}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{array}
$$

It follows from the form of Lagrangian (2.2) that pseudoconstants corresponding to the case $a=0$ can be obtained from (2.8)-(2.10) by the substitution $u_{i} \rightarrow-u_{i}, v_{i} \rightarrow w_{i}, w_{i} \rightarrow v_{i}$. They are of the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{\rho}=-\left(\sqrt{2} u_{x x}+u_{x}^{2}+2 v_{x} w_{x} \psi\right) / 6 \\
\hat{\theta}=w_{x x} w_{x}^{-1}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} u_{x}-\psi v_{x} w \\
\hat{\varphi}=\psi w_{x}\left(v_{x x}-v_{x} w_{x} \psi v+\sqrt{2} u_{x} v_{x}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{array}
$$

Relations (2.8)-(2.10) and (2.11)-(2.13) determine differential substitutions of system (2.6) into the following systems correspondingly

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m_{\tau}=\frac{2}{3} \varphi, & \hat{m}_{\tau}=-\frac{2}{3} \hat{\varphi} \\
n_{\tau}=n_{x x}+n_{x}^{2}+3 m_{x}, & \hat{n}_{\tau}=-\hat{n}_{x x}-\hat{n}_{x}^{2}-3 \hat{m}_{x}  \tag{2.14}\\
\varphi_{\tau}=-\varphi_{x x}+2\left(n_{x} \varphi\right)_{x}, & \hat{\varphi}_{\tau}=\hat{\varphi}_{x x}-2\left(\hat{n}_{x} \hat{\varphi}\right)_{x}
\end{array}
$$

where $m_{x}=\rho, n_{x}=\theta$. It is easy to see that systems (2.14) are related by the discrete transformation $\tau \rightarrow-\tau$. The integrability of (2.14) was established in [7] by constructing its bi-Hamiltonian structure. There it was also pointed out that (2.14) can be obtained from the Yajima-Oikawa system [18] in the same way as the dispersive water wave system (the Kaup-Broer system) from the NLS equation. Thus systems (2.14) can be regarded as three-component analogs of the dispersive water wave system.

To obtain the Bäcklund transformation for $(2.14)$ we exclude variables $u_{i}, v_{i}, w_{i}$ from relations (2.8)-(2.10) and (2.11)-(2.13). From relations (2.8), (2.11) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{x}=\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \int(\rho-\hat{\rho}) d x  \tag{2.15}\\
& \psi v_{x} w_{x}=-\frac{3}{2}(\hat{\rho}+\rho)-\frac{9}{4}\left(\int(\hat{\rho}-\rho) d x\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.9), (2.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi v_{x} w_{x}=\exp \left(\int(\hat{\theta}+\theta) d x\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the first relation of the sought transformation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\rho}+\rho=-\frac{2}{3} \exp \left(\int(\hat{\theta}+\theta) d x\right)-\frac{3}{2}\left(\int(\hat{\rho}-\rho) d x\right)^{2} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By expressing $v_{x x}$ from relation (2.9) and substituting it into (2.13) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varphi}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \psi v_{x} w_{x}\left(\sqrt{2} \theta+u_{x}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting expressions (2.15) and (2.16) into (2.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varphi}=\left(\theta+\frac{3}{2} \int(\rho-\hat{\rho}) d x\right) \exp \left(\int(\theta+\hat{\theta}) d x\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly from relations (2.10), (2.12), (2.15) and (2.16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\left(\hat{\theta}-\frac{3}{2} \int(\rho-\hat{\rho}) d x\right) \exp \left(\int(\theta+\hat{\theta}) d x\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus relations (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20) represent the Bäcklund transformation for solutions of systems (2.14). Passing on to potentials $(\rho, \theta) \rightarrow\left(m_{x}, n_{x}\right),(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\theta}) \rightarrow\left(\hat{m}_{x}, \hat{n}_{x}\right)$ we bring the Bäcklund transformation to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{x}=-\hat{m}_{x}-\frac{3}{2}(m-\hat{m})^{2}-\frac{2}{3} e^{\hat{n}+n}+\lambda \\
& n_{x}=\frac{3}{2}(\hat{m}-m)+\hat{\varphi} e^{-n-\hat{n}}, \quad \varphi=e^{\hat{n}+n}\left(\hat{n}_{x}+\frac{3}{2}(\hat{m}-m)\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the parameter $\lambda$ is injected into (2.21) by applying the transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m \rightarrow-x \lambda+m(x+\lambda, \tau)-\lambda^{2} / 2, \quad n \rightarrow-3 \tau \lambda+n(x+\lambda, \tau), \quad \varphi \rightarrow \varphi(x+\lambda, \tau) \\
& \hat{m} \rightarrow x \lambda+\hat{m}(x+\lambda, \tau)+\lambda^{2} / 2, \quad \hat{n} \rightarrow-3 \tau \lambda+\hat{n}(x+\lambda, \tau), \quad \hat{\varphi} \rightarrow \hat{\varphi}(x+\lambda, \tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

generated by the classical symmetries of (2.14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\lambda}=m_{x}-x, \quad n_{\lambda}=n_{x}-3 \tau, \quad \varphi_{\lambda}=\varphi_{x} \\
& \hat{m}_{\lambda}=\hat{m}_{x}+x, \quad \hat{n}_{\lambda}=\hat{n}_{x}-3 \tau, \quad \hat{\varphi}_{\lambda}=\hat{\varphi}_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (2.4). The hyperbolic system corresponding to the case (2.4) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t x}=2 a v^{2} e^{2 u}-2 b w^{2} e^{-2 u} \\
& v_{t x}=2 b w \psi^{-1} e^{-2 u}+\psi w v_{t} v_{x}, \quad w_{t x}=2 a v \psi^{-1} e^{2 u}+\psi v w_{t} w_{x} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

In the degenerate cases $a=0$ or $b=0$ system (2.22) possesses complete sets of pseudoconstants. In particular if $b=0$ then the pseudoconstants are

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho & =u_{2}-u_{1}^{2}-2 v_{1} w_{1} \psi, \quad \theta=v_{2} v_{1}^{-1}+u_{1}-v \psi w_{1} \\
\varphi & =-3 \psi\left(2 v_{1}^{3} w_{1} w^{2} \psi^{2}-2 \psi w w_{2} v_{1}^{2}+\psi v w_{1} w_{2} v_{1}-2 \psi v v_{1} w_{1}^{2} u_{1}\right. \\
& -2 \psi v_{1}^{2} w_{1}^{2}-2 v_{1} w_{1} u_{2}+2 v_{2} u_{1} w_{1}-v_{2} w_{2}-4 w_{2} u_{1} v_{1}+4 v_{1} w_{1} u_{1}^{2}  \tag{2.23}\\
& \left.+4 w \psi v_{1}^{2} w_{1} u_{1}+v_{1} w_{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In the case $a=0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\rho} & =-u_{2}-u_{1}^{2}-2 v_{1} w_{1} \psi, \quad \hat{\theta}=w_{x x} w_{x}^{-1}-u_{x}-w \psi v_{1} \\
\hat{\varphi} & =-3 \psi\left(2 w_{1}^{3} v_{1} v^{2} \psi^{2}-2 \psi v v_{2} w_{1}^{2}+\psi w v_{1} v_{2} w_{1}+2 \psi w w_{1} v_{1}^{2} u_{1}\right. \\
& -2 \psi v_{1}^{2} w_{1}^{2}+2 v_{1} w_{1} u_{2}+2 w_{2} u_{1} v_{1}-v_{2} w_{2}+4 v_{2} u_{1} w_{1}+4 v_{1} w_{1} u_{1}^{2}  \tag{2.24}\\
& \left.-4 v \psi w_{1}^{2} v_{1} u_{1}+w_{1} v_{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The latter is obtained from (2.23) by the substitution $u_{i} \rightarrow-u_{i}, v_{i} \rightarrow w_{i}, w_{i} \rightarrow v_{i}$. The simplest higher symmetry of (2.22) is of the third order and have the following explicit
form

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\tau}= & \frac{1}{4} u_{x x x}-\frac{3}{2}\left(w_{x x} v_{x}-v_{x x} w_{x}\right) \psi+\frac{3}{2}\left(w v_{x}-v w_{x}\right) v_{x} w_{x} \psi^{2} \\
& +3 \psi u_{x} v_{x} w_{x}-\frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{3} \\
v_{\tau}= & v_{x x x}+3 v_{x x}\left(u_{x}-v w_{x} \psi\right)+\frac{3}{2} u_{x x} v_{x}+3 v^{2} v_{x} w_{x}^{2} \psi^{2}-3 v_{x}^{2} w_{x} \psi \\
& +\frac{3}{2} v_{x} u_{x}^{2}-6 v u_{x} v_{x} w_{x} \psi  \tag{2.25}\\
w_{\tau}= & w_{x x x}-3 w_{x x}\left(u_{x}+w v_{x} \psi\right)-\frac{3}{2} u_{x x} w_{x}+3 w^{2} w_{x} v_{x}^{2} \psi^{2}-3 w_{x}^{2} v_{x} \psi \\
& +\frac{3}{2} w_{x} u_{x}^{2}+6 w u_{x} v_{x} w_{x} \psi
\end{align*}
$$

Sets of pseudoconstants (2.23) and (2.24) determine the Miura transformations of system (2.25) into the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{\tau}=\frac{1}{4} m_{x x x}+\frac{3}{4} m_{x}^{2}+\varphi \\
& n_{\tau}=n_{x x x}+\frac{3}{2}\left(2 n_{x} n_{x x}+n_{x} m_{x}\right)+n_{x}^{3}+\frac{3}{4} m_{x x}  \tag{2.26}\\
& \varphi_{\tau}=\varphi_{x x x}+\left(\varphi n_{x x}-n_{x} \varphi_{x}+\varphi n_{x}^{2}+\varphi m_{x}\right)_{x}-\frac{3}{2} \varphi_{x} m_{x}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{x}=\rho, n_{x}=\theta$. The auto-Bäcklund transformation for system (2.26) is therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{x}= & -\hat{m}_{x}-\frac{1}{2}(m-\hat{m})^{2}-4 e^{n+\hat{n}}+\lambda \\
n_{x x}= & 3 e^{n+\hat{n}}-\frac{1}{3} \hat{\varphi} e^{-n-\hat{n}}+\frac{1}{4}(m-\hat{m})^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{m}-m-2 n_{x}\right)\left(n_{x}-\hat{n}_{x}\right)+\hat{m}_{x}-\lambda / 2  \tag{2.27}\\
\varphi= & -\frac{3}{4}(m-\hat{m})^{2} e^{n+\hat{n}}-3 e^{2 n+2 \hat{n}} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} e^{n+\hat{n}}\left(2 \hat{n}_{x x}+2 \hat{m}_{x}+\left(n_{x}-\hat{n}_{x}\right)\left(m-\hat{m}-2 \hat{n}_{x}\right)-\lambda\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Case (2.5). The hyperbolic system takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t x}=a v e^{u}-b w e^{-u} \\
& v_{t x}=b \psi^{-1} e^{-u}+\psi w v_{t} v_{x}, \quad w_{t x}=a \psi^{-1} e^{u}+\psi v w_{t} w_{x} . \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $b=0$ in (2.28) we get the Liouville-type system with the pseudoconstants

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho & =2 u_{x x}-u_{x}^{2}-2 v_{x} w_{x} \psi, \quad \theta=v_{x x} v_{x}^{-1}-w_{x} v \psi+u_{x} \\
\varphi & =v_{x} \psi\left(-w_{x x x}-2 w^{2} \psi^{2} w_{x} v_{x}^{2}-v w \psi^{2} v_{x} w_{x}^{2}+2 \psi w v_{x} w_{x x}-2 w_{x} u_{x}^{2}\right.  \tag{2.29}\\
& \left.-3 \psi w v_{x} w_{x} u_{x}+\frac{3}{2} \psi w_{x}^{2} v_{x}+w \psi v_{x x} w_{x}+w_{x} u_{x x}+3 u_{x} w_{x x}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The other possibility $a=0$ gives us a system with the following pseudoconstants

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\rho}= & -2 u_{x x}-u_{x}^{2}-2 v_{x} w_{x} \psi, \quad \hat{\theta}=w_{x x} w_{x}^{-1}-v_{x} w \psi-u_{x}, \\
\hat{\varphi}= & w_{x} \psi\left(-v_{x x x}-2 v^{2} \psi^{2} v_{x} w_{x}^{2}-v w \psi^{2} w_{x} v_{x}^{2}+2 \psi v w_{x} v_{x x}-v_{x} u_{x x}\right.  \tag{2.30}\\
& \left.+3 \psi v w_{x} v_{x} u_{x}+\frac{3}{2} \psi v_{x}^{2} w_{x}+v \psi w_{x x} v_{x}-3 u_{x} v_{x x}-2 v_{x} u_{x}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The simplest higher symmetry of system (2.28) is

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\tau}= & -\frac{1}{2} u_{x x x}+\frac{3}{2} \psi\left(v_{x x} w_{x}-v_{x} w_{x x}\right)+\frac{1}{4} u_{x}^{3}+\frac{9}{2} \psi u_{x} v_{x} w_{x} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} \psi^{2} v_{x} w_{x}\left(v_{x} w-v w_{x}\right), \\
v_{\tau}= & v_{x x x}+\frac{3}{2} u_{x x} v_{x}+3 v_{x x}\left(u_{x}-\psi v w_{x}\right)+\frac{9}{4} u_{x}^{2} v_{x}-6 \psi v u_{x} v_{x} w_{x}  \tag{2.31}\\
& +3 \psi v_{x} w_{x}\left(\psi v^{2} w_{x}-\frac{1}{2} v_{x}\right), \\
w_{\tau}= & w_{x x x}-\frac{3}{2} u_{x x} w_{x}-3 w_{x x}\left(u_{x}+\psi v_{x} w\right)+\frac{9}{4} u_{x}^{2} w_{x} \\
& +6 \psi w u_{x} v_{x} w_{x}+3 \psi v_{x} w_{x}\left(\psi w^{2} v_{x}-\frac{1}{2} w_{x}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Miura transformations (2.29) and (2.30) relate system (2.31) with the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{\tau}=-\frac{1}{2} m_{x x x}+6 \varphi-\frac{3}{8} m_{x}^{2}, \quad n_{\tau}=n_{x x x}+3 n_{x} n_{x x}+\frac{3}{4} m_{x} n_{x}+n_{x}^{3}, \\
& \varphi_{\tau}=\varphi_{x x x}+3\left(n_{x}^{2} \varphi-n_{x} \varphi_{x}\right)_{x}+\frac{3}{4} m_{x} \varphi_{x} \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{x}=\rho, n_{x}=\theta$. Excluding variables $u_{i}, v_{i}, w_{i}$ from relations (2.29) and (2.30) we obtain the auto-Bäcklund transformation for system (2.32)

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{x}=-\hat{m}_{x}-\frac{1}{8}(m-\hat{m})^{2}-4 e^{n+\hat{n}}-4 \lambda, \\
& n_{x x}=\frac{1}{4} n_{x}(\hat{m}-m)-n_{x}^{2}-\hat{\varphi} e^{-n-\hat{n}}+\frac{1}{2} e^{n+\hat{n}}+\lambda,  \tag{2.33}\\
& \varphi=\frac{1}{2} e^{2 n+2 \hat{n}}+e^{n+\hat{n}}\left(\frac{1}{4} \hat{x}_{x}(m-\hat{m})-\hat{n}_{x}^{2}-\hat{n}_{x x}+\lambda\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the Bäcklund parameter.
One of applications of the Bäklund transformations is generation of exact solutions from a given ones. Consider for example the simplest case: system (2.14) and its Bäcklund transformation (2.21). Take $m=n=\varphi=0$ as a seed solution, then relations (2.21) yield the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\hat{m}=-\frac{2}{3} \hat{n}_{x}, \quad \hat{\varphi}=\partial_{x} e^{\hat{n}}, \quad \hat{n}_{x x}-\hat{n}_{x}^{2}-e^{\hat{n}}+\frac{3}{2} \lambda=0 .
$$

Solving this system we get expressions for functions $\hat{m}, \hat{n}, \hat{\varphi}$ containing two arbitrary functions of time, the latter can be found after substituting these expressions into the second system (2.14). This gives one soliton solution of (2.14) which can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{m}=\frac{2}{3} \lambda \frac{\kappa_{1} e^{\lambda(t \lambda+2 x)}+\kappa_{2} e^{\lambda^{2} t}}{\kappa_{1} e^{\lambda(t \lambda+2 x)}-\kappa_{2} e^{\lambda^{2} t}+2 e^{\lambda x}}, \\
& \hat{n}=\lambda x-\log \left(2 e^{\lambda x}+\kappa_{1} e^{\lambda(t \lambda+2 x)}-\kappa_{2} e^{\lambda^{2} t}\right)+\log \left(2 \lambda^{2}\right),  \tag{2.34}\\
& \hat{\varphi}=2 \lambda^{3} \frac{\left(\kappa_{1} e^{\lambda(t \lambda+2 x)}+\kappa_{2} e^{\lambda^{2} t}\right) e^{\lambda x}}{\left(\kappa_{1} e^{\lambda(t \lambda+2 x)}-\kappa_{2} e^{\lambda^{2} t}+2 e^{\lambda x}\right)^{2}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}=$ const.

## 3 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have presented the method which allowed us to construct Bäcklund transformations for several three-component evolution systems presented in [7]. One of these systems is closely related to the Yajima-Oikawa system, the Bäcklund transformation for which was discussed in [3] from the viewpoint of Lax pair. We would like to point out that the Lax pairs for (2.26) and (2.32) are of the fourth order and have quite complicated structure [6], so it would be difficult to find corresponding Bäcklund transformations if we tried to do that using methods previously known.
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